

Pacific Lutheran University
School of Education & Movement Studies

Undergraduate Teacher Certification Program
Handbook



Fall 2010- Spring 2012
Revised September, 2010

Also Available on the PLU/School of Education Website www.plu.edu/educ

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Introduction | 3 |
| Administration | 3 |
| Program Overview & Outline | 3 |
| Program Features | 5 |
| Student Teaching | 8 |
| The PLU Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System | 10 |
| Assessment & Accountability in Teacher Education | 10 |
| Washington State Standard V | 10 |
| Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System (TAPPS) | 12 |
| Chalk & Wire and TAPPS | 13 |
| Admission into a PLU Teacher Certification Program | 15 |
| Initial Teaching Event (ITE) | 15 |
| Professional Growth Plan (PGP) | 15 |
| The Assessment of Teacher Candidate Dispositions | 17 |
| Transitional Performance Review | 18 |
| TAPPS References | 19 |
| Advising and Planning in the Department of Instructional Development & Leadership | 20 |
| Appendix A: Endorsements & Placement Requirements | 21 |
| Appendix B: State of Washington Certification Requirements | 22 |
| Appendix C: 2010-2011 Continuing Education Courses (Sped Endorsement) | 23 |
| Appendix D: 2010-2011 Reading Endorsement Sequence | 24 |
| Appendix E: Professional Growth Plan Entry Submission Timeline | 25 |
| Appendix F: Professional Growth Plan Scoring Rubric | 26 |
| Appendix G: Teacher Candidate Dispositions | 27 |
| Appendix H: Disposition Scoring Rubric for Teacher Candidate Self-Assessment | 29 |
| Appendix I: Professional Growth Plan & Transitional Performance Review Schedule | 31 |
| Appendix J: TAPPS Glossary | 32 |
| Appendix K: Elementary Education/Secondary Education Academic Program Contracts | 35 |

Introduction

The information contained in this handbook pertains to the 2010-2012 Undergraduate Teacher Certification Program only. The Undergraduate program is one of several certification programs housed in the Department of Instructional Development and Leadership (IDL) within the School of Education and Movement Studies at Pacific Lutheran University.

Administration

The following faculty and staff members are available to assist candidates, supervising teachers, principals and district staff regarding the MAE Cert program.

Mike Hillis, Co-Interim Dean: hillis@plu.edu

Stephen Woolworth, Associate Dean: woolworth@plu.edu

Jan Weiss, Undergraduate Teacher Certification Program Coordinator: weissjm@plu.edu

Barbara Fresh, Certification Administrator: freshbj@plu.edu

Lynn Kamal, Assistant Director of Admissions & Advising: kamala@plu.edu

Karen Ponsness, Front Desk and Placement Files: ponsnekl@plu.edu

Kathlyn Mickel, Placement Coordinator: mickelkm@plu.edu

The Dept. of Instructional Development & Leadership (IDL): 253.535.7272

Program Overview & Outline

Welcome to the Undergraduate Teacher Certification Program in the Dept. of Instructional Development & Leadership! We are delighted that you have joined our program and we look forward to working with you through the next two years. In the following pages, we provide an overview of the program, information to help guide your progress, and additional resources for your reference.

The primary aim of the program is to educate teacher candidates who are ready to address the urgent needs of students in 21st century schools. The faculty members work with candidates to develop their understandings and skills as guided by the program's five core values: *care*, *competence*, *difference*, *leadership*, and *service*. A strong emphasis in the program is placed on developing the knowledge and skills necessary for the integration of curriculum across grade levels. The program is distinguished by early and active involvement in the schools and by membership in a cohort group of peers. This means candidates enter the program in the same term and progress through courses and practica together, which promotes the sharing of insights and experiences.

Candidates begin the undergraduate pre-service preparation program during the fall semester of their junior year and finish with the successful completion of their student teaching experience, which typically occurs during the spring of their senior year. They may pursue either an elementary or secondary education course of study. For most candidates the length of their

student teaching placement is 15 weeks with a minimum of 6 weeks “full-time” teaching. However, for candidates completing a dual endorsement (Elem/Sped) the length of the student teaching placement is 18 weeks with 9 weeks in each placement. In each placement these candidates should be teaching “full-time” for a minimum of 4 weeks. The scope and sequence for both the elementary and secondary curricular tracks are presented below:

Elementary Education (total 51-54 semester hours):

Semester I:

EDUC 390: Inquiry into Learning I
 EDUC 392: Inquiry into Learning II
 SPED 320: Issues of Child Abuse and Neglect
 EDUC 394: Technology and Teaching
 Practicum: 40 hrs.

Semester II:

EDUC 406: Math in K-8
 EDUC 408: Literacy in K-8
 EDUC 424: Inquiry into Teaching I
 SPED 424: Learners with Special Needs
 Practicum: 80 hrs.

Semester III*:

EDUC 410: Science/Health
 EDUC 412: Social Studies K-8
 EDUC 425: Inquiry into Teaching II
 Practicum: 80hrs.

Semester IV:

EDUC 430: Student Teaching
 EDUC 450: Seminar

*All candidates must pass the WEST E by the end of Semester III otherwise they will not be provided a student teaching placement during Semester IV.

Secondary Education (total 43-45 semester hours):

Semester I:

EDUC 390: Inquiry into Learning I
 EDUC 392: Inquiry into Learning II
 SPED 320: Issues of Child Abuse and Neglect
 EDUC 394: Technology and Teaching
 Practicum: 40 hrs.

Semester II:

ESPY 368: Educational Psychology
 EDUC 424: Inquiry into Teaching I
 SPED 424: Learners with Special Needs
 Practicum: 80 hrs.

Semester III*:

EDUC 425: Inquiry into Teaching II
 EDUX 44X: Subject Area Methods
 EDUC 450: Seminar
 Practicum: 80 hrs.

Semester IV:


EDUC 468: Student Teaching

*All candidates must pass the WEST E by the end of Semester III otherwise they will not be provided a student teaching placement during Semester IV.

Program Features

HUB I-HUB IV

All students—regardless of their academic emphasis or content endorsement(s)—take a number of courses together. These are referred to as the “HUBs” such that Semester I (EDUC 390/392) may also be referred to as “HUB I,” Semester II (EDUC 424 & Sped 424) as “HUB II” and so on. There are many skills and a great deal of knowledge needed by all teachers, regardless of the content or grade level being taught. These skills/knowledge are addressed in the “HUBs.” The diagram below depicts the Hub structure in relation to other required courses for elementary and secondary candidates.



IDL faculty have adopted the HUB structure because efforts to understand why so many children do not succeed in schools suggest that one problem is the lack of “articulation” among different levels of school and different disciplines within a particular grade level. In other words, there is often little connection between what is taught and learned in a particular grade and what is taught and learned in other grades or between what is taught in one area (such as mathematics) and what is taught in another area (such as science or language arts.) This reality typically leads to very fragmented experiences for learners. In the “HUB,” teacher candidates work with others who are preparing to teach different levels/subjects. They learn about issues/concerns/etc. facing teachers and students at different points in their education and begin to learn how to work together to create meaningful, coherent experiences for all learners.

Team Teaching in the HUBS

Many courses in the Undergraduate Teacher Certification Program are designed and taught by teams of faculty.

- PLU faculty have been chosen for their expertise in particular areas (e.g. the teaching of reading, mathematics/science instruction, special education, educational foundations, educational psychology, etc.) Team teaching provides us with a structure that allows faculty with expertise in a specific area to work with all teacher candidates—not just a small group who happen to be enrolled in a professor’s class or section.
- Research on “best practices” in P-12 schools suggests that teacher collaboration is a feature that tends to occur in “learning-focused environments.” Team teaching allows IDL faculty to collaborate as they support candidate learning and enables them to demonstrate how collaboration can occur.
- Team teaching allows IDL faculty to learn from one another.

Special Education & Reading Instruction in the HUBS

All candidates—regardless of their academic emphasis or content area endorsement(s)—learn a great deal about special education and the teaching of reading in the “HUB.”

- Teachers today are teaching a wider range of learners than ever before. These learners come with wide range of needs/strengths. Research suggests that knowledge/skills gained through the study of special education strategies and through an intense focus on strategies for teaching reading will assist teachers in meeting the needs of all learners.
- State law as outlined in the Washington Administrative Code requires all certified teachers to have a reasonably in-depth knowledge of strategies for working with learners with a variety of special needs and it requires all teachers—regardless of endorsement area—to be teachers of reading.

- The intensive focus on reading and special education that is offered in the HUBs makes PLU graduates more “marketable” than graduates of many other institutions.

Curricular themes addressed in the HUBS

The three “topics” covered in each of the Hubs are: Creating a classroom community that supports learning; Understanding and assessing learning; & Planning and teaching for powerful learning.

The National Board for Professional Teaching Standards was created in the mid 1980s to recognize accomplished teachers and to offer a national certification to those demonstrating a high level of performance in their particular teaching area. The standards used by the NBPTS are organized around five core propositions. The topics for PLU’s Hubs were chosen because they align with the NBPTS core propositions and because they allow pre-service teachers to demonstrate their growth and development. Within each of these four “topic” areas, IDL faculty have identified specific types of evidence candidates must provide that also show their alignment with state and other professional standards.

School Placements

Prior to student teaching, all candidates are required to spend approximately *40 hours for Hub I*, and *80 hours per semester for Hub II and Hub III* working in a school setting. A number of assignments can only be completed in a classroom setting.

- Learning theory suggests that activities requiring that learners use and apply knowledge in meaningful, real settings are important if learners are to really understand, retain, and use what they are learning. Work in the field provides candidates with rich opportunities to use and apply knowledge.
- Education faculty are committed to assessing candidates in ways that will provide them and the students with useful knowledge about progress. This knowledge, in turn, can help faculty and candidates address difficulties and weaknesses as they become apparent. Faculty have discovered that assessing candidates’ work in courses and in the field provides useful insights into candidate development.
- PLU’s emphasis on extensive field work throughout teacher education programs is often cited as one of the reasons PLU teachers are generally recognized as “the best” or “among the best” in the state.

Arranging School Placements

All placements are arranged by PLU’s Field Placement Coordinator because:

- School of Education faculty and staff have worked to establish productive relationships with several partner schools. In general, these schools serve diverse groups of learners. Often they are in communities where issues like poverty, mobility, etc are affecting the lives (and learning) of students. These schools (and their principals and teachers)

understand our program and its requirements and gladly work with our candidates. Faculty are committed to ensuring that practicum and student teaching work provides candidates with excellent opportunities to learn and work to place students in schools and with teachers where this is likely to occur.

- PLU and other education schools in the area have contracts with districts that specify that one individual from PLU (i.e., Field Placement Coordinator) will work with district representatives to place students in classrooms. This is important because there are so many teacher education programs in this area. Schools, principals, and teachers would be overwhelmed (and learners would suffer) if individual candidates or teachers tried to make arrangements for their practicum and student teaching placements.

We have discovered that at least one “long term” placement has great value for teacher candidates. These arrangements give students a variety of experiences. They also offer candidates the opportunity to really get to know a school, a group of teachers, and a group of learners. They can see how students change, observe changes in rhythms of the year, and move into some substantive teaching even before their student teaching experience.

Student Teaching

All School of Education candidates must complete a successful student teaching experience. This involves demonstrating competency on a set of tasks, demonstrating competence on the Washington State Performance Based Pedagogy Assessment, passing the WEST-E exams in appropriate endorsement areas, and completing a Professional Growth Plan—and the subsequent presentation of it—that demonstrates that they meet all standards for residency certification in Washington.

While the majority of candidates will carry out their student teaching experience in the spring of their senior year, there are always some who, for any number of reasons (e.g., illness, incomplete requirements, etc.) will not do so until the following fall semester.

Fall student teachers should begin their student teaching according to the district start date. They should contact the school, their cooperating teacher, and the principal prior to that date and, if possible, arrange to participate in beginning activities. Fall student teachers should adhere to the calendar of their assigned schools, observing school – not University- holidays and breaks. Candidates pursuing a dual endorsement (Elem/Sped) beginning in the fall will continue their student teaching experience through J-Term.

Spring student teachers begin their student teaching on the Monday before the first day of PLU’s spring semester. They should contact the school, their cooperating teacher, and the principal prior to that date and, if possible, visit the classroom and observe in January before the semester actually begins. Spring student teachers should adhere to the calendar of their assigned schools, observing school – not PLU holidays and breaks. The length of the teaching day should be the same as for any certificated teacher in the assigned school and particularly aligned with

the day of the cooperating teacher. Candidates pursuing a dual endorsement (Elem/Sped) beginning in spring will typically continue their student teaching experience through the end of the K-12 school year.

The candidate's transition into teaching should be planned and gradual. While the rate of assumption of responsibility will necessarily vary somewhat, depending upon the readiness of the candidate and the comfort of the cooperating teacher, the suggested sequence below might be used as a general "rule of thumb." The idea is that the candidate has appropriate time to move smoothly from dependence to independence as a teacher. Full-time student teaching is for a minimum of 6 weeks.

| | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| <p>Phase I: Introduction Candidate observes and works with small groups of students in group work and tutoring</p> | <p>Phase II: Emerging Competence Limited teaching responsibilities in 1 or more classes/academic areas - including introducing lessons, leading a discussion etc.</p> | <p>Phase III: Developing Competence Full responsibility in 1 or more classes/academic areas with more limited responsibilities in others</p> | <p>Phase IV: Demonstrating Competence Candidates will assume full responsibility for a group of students' learning experiences (full teaching load)</p> | <p>Phase V: Transition Begin to phase out of teaching responsibilities to allow mentor teacher a smooth transition back into the class</p> |
| <p>Length of time depends upon readiness of candidate (typically 1-2 weeks)</p> | <p>Length of time depends upon readiness of candidate (typically 1-2 weeks)</p> | <p>Length of time depends upon readiness of candidate (typically 2-3 weeks)</p> | <p>Suggested time: minimum of 6 weeks</p> | <p>Student teaching typically is completed in one semester, but may be extended.</p> |

The PLU Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System (TAPPS)

Assessment & Accountability in Teacher Education

What makes an effective teacher? And how should teacher preparation programs support the development of effective teachers? Moreover, given the ways poor and minority students are too often “shortchanged” by ineffective teachers (e.g., see Peske & Haycock, 2006), how is it that struggling teachers are granted entrance into the profession to begin with? These and other questions about teaching and teacher preparation are being asked, discussed and debated at the national, state and local levels where education policy is crafted and enacted.

Initial questions and concerns about teacher effectiveness paralleled the rise of standards-based education in the United States as evidenced, for example, by the influential reports of the Holmes Group (1986) and the Carnegie Task Force on Teaching as a Profession (1986), which called for the preparation of more knowledgeable and skillful teachers in the nation’s public schools. Since then there has been much debate about not only what preservice teachers should know and be able to do, but also which approaches and strategies designed to support the development of preservice teachers’ expertise actually make a difference (Darling-Hammond, 2010). Because teacher quality is the single most powerful predictor of student learning in schools (e.g., see Ferguson, 1991), the issue of teacher effectiveness—and hence the policies designed to produce and sustain it—has become one of the most central and contentious policy issues driving education reform in the United States (Cochran-Smith, 2005).

It is within this context that teacher certification programs across the nation are required to collect, analyze and produce data about their effectiveness in preparing candidates with the prerequisite knowledge, skills and dispositions to positively impact student learning in their P-12 classrooms. However, where the No Child Left Behind Act of 2002 framed teacher effectiveness under the banner of “highly qualified”—which pertained to teachers’ knowledge of the subject matter they were teaching—the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 has shifted the emphasis of federal education policy from “highly qualified” to “highly effective” to better link teacher performance with student learning. This shift from *highly qualified* to *highly effective* aligns with recent changes in how teacher education programs are accredited, which speaks to how the standards-based P-12 system has impacted accountability standards at the teacher preparation program level (Rosselli, 2008).

Washington State Standard V (Knowledge and Skills of Teacher Candidates)

In Washington State the authority for policy and oversight of teacher preparation and certification lies with the Professional Educator Standards Board (PESB). It is the board’s responsibility to ensure that teacher certification programs are in compliance with the program approval standards codified in state law (WAC 181-78A-270). The approval standard that specifically addresses the knowledge and skills of preservice teachers is

Standard V. It outlines the competencies that all preservice teachers must demonstrate in order to complete their certification. Therefore, in order to attain state certification in Washington State teacher candidates must demonstrate teacher-based and student-based evidence that shows they have had a positive impact on student learning in each of the four sub-standards (5.1-5.4) of Standard V and the specific criteria embedded within each of them. These areas include the following:

- **Standard 5.1: Knowledge of Subject Matter and Curriculum Goals**
 - (a) Teacher candidates positively impact student learning that is:
 - (i) **Content driven.** All students develop understanding and problem-solving expertise in the content area(s) using reading, written and oral communication, and technology.
 - (ii) **Aligned with curriculum standards and outcomes.** All students know the learning targets and their progress toward meeting them.
 - (iii) **Integrated across content areas.** All students learn subject matter content that integrates mathematical, scientific, and aesthetic reasoning.

- **Standard 5.2: Knowledge of Teaching**
 - (b) Teacher candidates positively impact student learning that is:
 - (i) **Informed by standards-based assessment.** All students benefit from learning that is systematically analyzed using multiple formative, summative, and self-assessment strategies.
 - (ii) **Intentionally planned.** All students benefit from standards-based planning that is personalized.
 - (iii) **Influenced by multiple instructional strategies.** All students benefit from personalized instruction that addresses their ability levels and cultural and linguistic backgrounds.
 - (iv) **Informed by technology.** All students benefit from instruction that utilizes effective technologies and is designed to create technologically proficient learners.

- **Standard 5.3: Knowledge of Learners and their Development in Social Contexts**
 - (c) Evidence of teacher candidate practice reflects planning, instruction and communication that is:
 - (i) **Learner centered.** All students engage in a variety of culturally responsive, developmentally, and age appropriate strategies.
 - (ii) **Classroom/school centered.** Student learning is connected to communities within the classroom and the school, including knowledge and skills for working with others.
 - (iii) **Family/neighborhood centered.** Student learning is informed by collaboration with families and neighborhoods.

(iv) **Contextual community centered.** All students are prepared to be responsible citizens for an environmentally sustainable, globally interconnected, and diverse society.

- **Standard 5.4: Understanding of Teaching as a Profession**

(d) Teacher candidates positively impact student learning that is:

(i) **Informed by professional responsibilities and policies.** All students benefit from a collegial and professional school setting.

(ii) **Enhanced by a reflective, collaborative, professional growth-centered practice.** All students benefit from the professional growth of their teachers.

(iii) **Informed by legal and ethical responsibilities.** All students benefit from a safe and respectful learning environment.

Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System (TAPPS)

The faculty in PLU’s Department of Instructional Development and Leadership have designed the Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System (TAPPS) to serve four primary purposes. First, the system is designed to address the knowledge and skill requirements of Washington State’s Standard V for all preservice teacher candidates. Second, the system is designed to ensure the alignment of curriculum and instruction with program and course learning outcomes and objectives. It does this by providing aggregate and disaggregate data on candidate learning, growth and performance as part of a continuous feedback loop that informs decisions about the ongoing monitoring and improvement of curriculum and instruction in our preservice programs. Third, the system is designed to help teacher candidates demonstrate and reflect upon both their own learning in relation to the knowledge and skills identified in Standard V and that of their students in P-12 classrooms. And fourth, the system is designed to produce knowledge that may help in answering two important policy-related questions: What makes an effective teacher? And how should teacher preparation programs support the development of effective teachers?

The Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System features both an accountability (i.e., data management) component in which multiple sources of evidence about candidate potential and performance are considered at different decision points regarding recommendations for certification and a portfolio-based component (referred to as the Professional Growth Plan or PGP) in which candidates assess themselves—and are in turn assessed by faculty—in relation to Standard V. Evidence about candidate potential is collected and analyzed during admission into the program and then evidence related to performance and practice is collected during each of the three time blocks of instruction when candidates submit entries in their professional growth plans. At the conclusion of each time time block of instruction candidates participate in what is called a “Transitional Performance Review” or TPR during which candidates meet with program faculty to


review their performance in program courses, discuss their self-assessment of their professional dispositions and reflect on their Professional Growth Plan (PGP) submissions.

Each component of the system is described in more detail in the ensuing pages while the flow chart on the following page provides a graphic depiction that details the process by which candidates progress through TAPPS. It may be helpful when reading the description and explanation of each component of TAPPS to refer back to the flow chart to see how each component is embedded within the larger assessment system.

Chalk & Wire and TAPPS

The Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System is housed in a web-based portfolio, assessment and data analysis program run by a Canadian company called Chalk & Wire. All candidates will purchase an account with Chalk & Wire and then receive formal training on how to develop their electronic portfolio or professional growth plan using the Chalk & Wire program. All candidate artifacts (e.g., PGP) produced for TAPPS will be submitted and assessed on Chalk & Wire.

**Pacific Lutheran University
Dept. Instructional Development and Leadership
Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System**



Admission into a PLU Teacher Certification Program

After applying to one of the teacher certification programs in PLU's Department of Instructional Development and Leadership, various components of each candidate's application file are assessed, scored and entered into the data management component of TAPPS. These components include West B scores, West E scores (for those programs that require it upon application to the program) and candidate grade point average (GPA). The application essay, two letters of recommendation and the interview are also assessed and scored on a four-point rubric/scale. One of the reasons for storing and managing these data is to determine if performance in any of these categories correlates to or predicts both subsequent performance in the program and candidates teaching in the P-12 system. The ITE also provides a form of "baseline" evidence that over time will provide case study data to assist faculty in determining program impact on candidates' instructional knowledge and skill development.

Initial Teaching Event (ITE)

After being granted and then accepting admission into a PLU teacher certification program, all teacher candidates participate in an "Initial Teaching Event" (ITE). This occurs within the first few classes of each certification program. Candidates are asked to prepare a short 10-minute teaching lesson for their peers, which is then videotaped so that candidates can reflect upon the experience in their first entry on their Professional Growth Plan. While candidates will receive some feedback on their teaching, the primary purpose of the ITE is to provide an opportunity for candidates to assess their own performance both in the short and long-term (e.g., upon conclusion of their program as a way of charting and analyzing their own instructional growth and development).

Professional Growth Plan (PGP)

All teacher candidates in Washington State are required to submit a Professional Growth Plan upon completion of their certification program. The PGP developed by the faculty within PLU's Department of Instructional Development and Leadership is aligned with Washington State's Standard V and the following four criteria:

- Standard 5.1: Knowledge of Subject Matter and Curriculum Goals
- Standard 5.2: Knowledge of Teaching
- Standard 5.3: Knowledge of Learners and their Development in Social Contexts
- Standard 5.4: Understanding of Teaching as a Profession

The PGP has been designed to chart candidate growth in relation to the different components of Standard V in each time block of instruction. Candidates are expected to support their self-assessment through specific Teacher-Based and Student-Based Evidence (TBE & SBE), which is to be attached to each entry or submission of the PGP

in their Chalk & Wire portfolio. These artifacts (TBE & SBE) are the products completed through coursework, evidence gathered during fieldwork, and from field-based evaluations (e.g., Performance Pedagogy Assessment or PPA). Supporting evidence for each entry in the PGP must include the one piece of “common evidence” (e.g., lesson plan) connected to that particular entry and the course in which it is assigned. All pieces of common evidence are thus first introduced as course assignments, which are then later submitted as required evidence for the PGP submissions (See Appendix D).

To complete a PGP entry candidates submit a short narrative (max. 500 words for PGP entries #1-#4 and max.1000 words for PGP entry #5) that describes, analyzes, and reflects on how the evidence they provide demonstrates their competency in meeting the standard. Each entry in the PGP links to a specific component of Standard V. So, for example, PGP entry #1 addresses the criteria in Standard 5.1.A-C, entry #2 addresses the criteria in Standard 5.4.A-C and so on. The alignment of the PGP with Standard V and program coursework is also detailed in the “Professional Growth Plan Entry Submission Timeline” located in Appendix D.

When completing an entry into their professional growth plans candidates are asked to answer the following three questions:

- Where am I now in relation to the standard?
- How does my evidence support my assertions?
- What are my professional goals for this standard?

Candidates complete a total of five PGP submissions with the final entry serving as the state required portfolio in which they demonstrate their competency in meeting all 14 criteria in Standard V. The common evidence for each entry of the PGP includes the following:

- For PGP entry #1 candidates submit a lesson plan (5.1.A-C);
- For PGP entry #2 candidates submit a professional position statement (5.4. A-C);
- For PGP entry #3 candidates submit a unit plan (5.2. A-D);
- For PGP entry #4 candidates submit a classroom management plan (5.3. A-D); and
- For PGP entry #5 candidates address each criterion within Standard V.

This self-assessment and supporting documentation in each entry of the PGP along with feedback from faculty, supervisors and cooperating teachers in the field will provide the candidate with data to develop and describe the learning goals for their next steps in achieving certification. The scoring rubric for the PGP can be found in Appendix E.

The Assessment of Teacher Candidate Dispositions

It is expected that all candidates in PLU’s teacher certification programs will conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times. To monitor this expectation, the faculty within the Dept. of Instructional Development and Leadership has adopted a criteria-based framework to assess candidate dispositions. This framework integrates the five specific “abilities” within PLU’s Integrative Learning Objectives (ILOs) with Washington State’s Standard V for preservice teachers. In particular, all candidates are expected to promote equity and diversity, be engaged and empathetic professionals, participate in all aspects of their program in a conscientious and cooperative fashion, express themselves effectively and reflect on their practice in a critical manner. These five characteristics serve as dispositional categories or areas of assessment that are used not only to gauge the quality of course and program participation, but also the commitments and values that candidates enact during their work in the field. The dispositional categories are specifically referred to as the following: *Equity and Diversity Promotion, Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism, Conscientious and Cooperative Participation, Effective Expression, and Critical Reflection*. The complete definition of each category and the accompanying indicators are located in Appendix F.

Candidates assess their professional dispositions—and are in turn assessed by faculty—at the end of each of the three time blocks of instruction during what is called a “Transitional Performance Review” (see below). This process occurs through a phased or developmental approach in which candidates assess themselves in relation to the first three disposition categories (*Equity and Diversity Promotion, Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism and Conscientious and Cooperative Participation*) at the end of time block #1, the first four disposition categories at the end of time block #2 (*Equity and Diversity Promotion, Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism, Conscientious and Cooperative Participation, and effective expression*) and then all five categories at the conclusion of the program (*Equity and Diversity Promotion, Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism, Conscientious and Cooperative Participation, Effective Expression, and Critical Reflection*).

Candidates self-assess their professional dispositions by scoring themselves on the disposition scoring rubric (See Appendix G) and then writing a 2pg. essay explaining their score. After presenting their essay to faculty during the transitional performance review process, candidates are, in turn, scored on the rubric by faculty. Both sets of scores, the candidate self-assessment and the faculty assessment of candidates, are entered into the Chalk and Wire data management system at the conclusion of each of the three program time blocks of instruction.

Participation in the TPRs is graded as part of the course participation grade for the class to which it is connected (see Appendix H). As indicated on the flowchart, if it is determined during the TRP a candidate is struggling in the program (e.g., low GPA or course grade) a decision could be made concerning the need for additional support, a failure to respond positively to which could in some instances result in a candidate being exited from the program.

Transitional Performance Review

All candidates participate in a Transitional Performance Review (TPR) at the conclusion of each time block of instruction. These reviews are an assessment point for faculty and candidates to determine that the knowledge, skills and disposition requirements for preservice teacher candidates have been met at the end of each time block of program instruction.

Transitional Performance Review #1

- Candidate self-assessment in relation to *equity and diversity promotion, engaged and empathetic professionalism, and conscientious and cooperative participation* on dispositions rubric in Chalk & Wire;
- 1-2pg. essay explaining and defending rubric score (Due 1 week prior to date);
- 15 min conference between candidate & 2 faculty members to review program performance (e.g., essay, coursework, GPA, PGP Eval, evaluations, etc.) and candidate dispositional self-assessment; and
- 2 faculty members fill out disposition rubric together in Chalk & Wire at the conclusion of the conference core).

Transitional Performance Review #2

- Candidate self-assessment in relation to *equity and diversity promotion, engaged and empathetic professionalism, conscientious and cooperative participation and effective expression* on the dispositions rubric in Chalk & Wire;
- 1-2pg. explaining and defending rubric score (Due 1 week prior to date);;
- 15 min conference between candidate & 2 faculty members to review program performance (e.g., coursework, GPA, PGP, evaluations, etc.) and candidate dispositional self-assessment; and
- 2 faculty members fill out disposition rubric together in Chalk & Wire at the conclusion of the conference.

Transitional Performance Review #3

- Candidate self-assessment in relation to *equity and diversity promotion, engaged and empathetic professionalism, conscientious and cooperative participation, effective expression and critical reflection* on the dispositions rubric in Chalk & Wire;
- 1-2pg. essay explaining and defending rubric score (Due 1 week prior to date);;
- 20 min conference between candidate & 2 faculty members to review program performance (e.g., coursework, GPA, PGP, evaluations, etc.), candidate dispositional self-assessment, and Professional Portfolio; and
- 2 faculty members fill out disposition rubric together in Chalk & Wire at the conclusion of the conference.

TAPPS References

Carnegie Task Force on Teaching as a Profession. (1986). *A nation prepared: Teachers for the 21st century*. New York: Author.

Cochran-Smith, M. (2005). Studying teacher education: What we know and need to know. *Journal of Teacher Education*, Vol. 56 (4), 301-306.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). Teacher education and the American future. *Journal of Teacher Education*. Vol. 61 (1-2), pp. 35-47.

Ferguson, R.F. (1991). Paying for public education: New evidence on how and why money matters. *Harvard Journal on Legislation*, Vol. 28(2), 465-498.

Holmes Group. (1986). *Tomorrow's teachers: A report of the Holmes Group*. East Lansing, MI: Author.

Peske, H.G. & Haycock, K. (2006). *Teaching inequality: How poor and minority students are shortchanged on teacher quality*. Washington, DC: The Education Trust.

Rosselli, H. (2008). Assessments that connect teaching and learning in teacher preparation: Origins, challenges, and future directions (A theoretical context for it's all about student learning). In Wise, A., Ehrenberg., and Leibbrand, J. (Eds.) *It's All about Student Learning: Assessing Teacher Candidates' Ability to Impact P-12 Students*. Washington, D.C: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education.

Advising and Planning in the Department of Instructional Development & Leadership

PLU undergraduates who pursue teacher certification must meet two sets of requirements. One set is from PLU. There are a number of requirements for earning degrees (such as completion of the General University Requirements) that must be attended to. The second set is from the state of Washington. IDL faculty and staff must also ensure that you meet requirements for certification and endorsement as specified by the state of Washington.

Advising and planning are the keys to meeting these various sets of requirements. It is very, very important that you work closely with the IDL certification officer, with your IDL adviser, and with an adviser in other departments, if appropriate, to be certain that you meet all requirements of the university and the state of Washington. At a minimum, they will assist you with the following:

- **Academic Program Contracts:** Before or during your first semester in the IDL, you and an adviser should create an “ACADEMIC PROGRAM CONTRACT.” This contract will identify the courses that you need to take to meet all requirements. It should be used by you to plan your program. It will be used by the registrar, the associate dean of the IDL, and the certification officer to determine if you have, in fact, met all requirements for your degree/ certificate/ endorsement. Sample ACADEMIC PROGRAM CONTRACTs are included in this Handbook for your review.
- **Fingerprint Clearance:** Washington State law (WAC 181-78A-125 and RCW 28A.410.010) requires that all candidates must have current fingerprint and character clearance based on a background record check through the Washington State Patrol (WSP) and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) at all times during the field experience, including all school-based practicum, student teaching and internship experiences. Please make arrangements with one of the regional Educational Service District (ESD) offices to submit your fingerprints for clearance. You must allow sufficient time for your prints to clear and appear in the OSPI database. You will not be permitted to participate in school-based field experience without proper clearance. Please note that fingerprint clearance from other sources (for example, military or another state) will not be accepted.
- **Character and Fitness Form:** Every person seeking a teaching certificate in Washington must complete a “Character and Fitness Supplement.” These can be obtained from the IDL certification officer. Please note that if a candidate submits a form containing any “positive” answers, the state requires the University to forward the candidate’s documents to the Office of Professional Practices for a review.
- **Student Teaching Application:** Early in the semester before you student teach, you will be asked to complete a “student teaching application.” These are used by the IDL student teacher placement director as he/she works with school districts to place PLU students in appropriate classrooms. These forms are on the IDL website at <http://www.plu.edu/~educ/services2001.htm#application>.
- **Application for a Washington State Teacher Certificate (Residency):** As you near the end of your program, you will be asked to complete an application for a Washington state teaching certificate. These forms can be obtained from the IDL certification officer.

Appendix A
Endorsement & Placement Requirements

| Endorsement(s) | Track | Placement |
|--|--|--|
| <u>Elementary Education</u> | Elementary | <u>K-8</u> |
| <u>Elementary Education</u> with <u>Special Education</u> and/or <u>Reading</u> | Elementary | <u>K-8</u> Note: SPED always requires a 2 nd additional placement. |
| <u>Elementary Ed</u> and any <u>Secondary Content Area endorsement</u> (can also include SPED and/or Reading) | Elementary | <u>K-8</u> Additional 5-12 placement in the content area. Additional placement required for SPED. |
| <u>Secondary Content Area(s)</u> | Secondary | <u>5-12</u> |
| <u>Secondary Content Area(s) with Special Education</u> Note: With appropriate WEST-E(s) you would also be eligible for Elementary Education and Reading. | Elementary (SPED endorsements are Elementary track) | <u>5-12 in the content area.</u> Additional placement required for SPED. |

NOTE: Elementary Ed, Reading and Special Ed program requires an additional placement at no additional charge. Adding multiple Content Area endorsements may also require an additional placement for which there is an additional charge.

P-12 Content Area Endorsements:

Theatre Arts
Visual Arts
Designated World Languages
ELL

4-9 Content Area Endorsements:

Middle Level Mathematics
Middle Level Science
Middle Level Humanities

5-12 Content Area Endorsements:

English Language Arts
History
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies
Biology
Chemistry
Physics
Earth and Space Science

Appendix B
State of Washington Certification Requirements
Pacific Lutheran University

- **Minimum age of 18**
- **Degree**
 - Bachelor’s Degree from a regionally accredited university
 - **or**
 - Bachelor’s Degree from a foreign university for which a transcript has been evaluated for United States equivalency by one of the evaluation services organizations accepted by Washington State (fee to be paid by candidate)
- **Official transcripts** for any coursework required for program completion including transcript showing degree
- **Completion of a state-approved teacher preparation program**, which includes content, methodology, and field experience from a regionally accredited college or university
- **Institutional Application for Teacher’s Certificate** (completed, signed and dated)
 - **Fee paid** for Residency Certification (**\$35**)
- **Character and Fitness Supplement** (completed, both affidavits signed and dated)
 - “Yes” answers (if any) submitted to OPP and cleared before certification requested
- **Fingerprint clearance** current in OSPI database (clearance expires 2 years after submission)
 - Or a current Washington State Educator Certificate
- **WEST-B** : Passing scores - Washington Educator Skills Test-Basic.
- **WEST-E** : Passing score(s)in each endorsement area - Washington Educator Skills Tests—Endorsements (required for P–12 educator endorsements)
- **PPA** (Performance-Based Pedagogy Assessment)
- **PGP** (draft of Emerging Professional Growth Plan)

Appendix C
2010-2011 Continuing Education Courses
(Special Education Endorsement)

| | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SUMMER 2010 | 2 Semester Hours | Tuition Price: \$500 |
| SPED 910 Assessment July 8-August 19, R 4:00-8:00p.m., Williams | 2 semester hours | |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| FALL 2010 | 5 Semester Hours | Tuition Price: \$1,250 |
| SPED 911 Procedures for Students with Developmental Disabilities 1 st half of semester, M 4:00-8:00p.m., Hassen | 3 semester hours | |
| SPED 912 Collaboration and Team Building 2 nd half of semester, R 4:00-8:00p.m., Gerlach | 2 semester hours | |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SPRING 2011 | 7 Semester Hours | Tuition Price: \$1,750 |
| SPED 914 Educational Procedures for Students with Mild Disabilities 1 st half of semester, M 4:00-8:00p.m., Williams | 4 semester hours | |
| SPED 915 Procedures for Students with Behavior Disorders 2 nd half of semester, M 4:00-8:00p.m., Williams | 3 semester hours | |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| SUMMER 2011 | 4 Semester Hours | Tuition Price: \$1000 |
| SPED 916 Issues in Early Childhood Special Education JUNE TBA, DAY – TBA, TIME - TBA, INSTRUCTOR - TBA, LOCATION - TBA | 2 semester hours | |
| SPED 917 Education and Training of Individuals with Severe and Profound Disabilities JULY TBA, DAY – TBA, TIME - TBA, INSTRUCTOR - TBA, LOCATION - TBA | 2 semester hours | |

18 Semester Hours Tuition Price: \$4,500

| |
|--|
| THE TOTAL TUITION PRICE DOES NOT INCLUDE THE COST OF THE PEDAGOGY or SPED PEDAGOGY ASSESSMENT |
|--|

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| ALL TERMS – SUMMER 2010, FALL 2010, J TERM 2011, SPRING 2011 | | | |
| SPED 905 | PEDAGOGY ASSESSMENT | 5 Semester Hours | Tuition |
| | | | Price: \$1,250 |
| EDUC 905 | PEDAGOGY ASSESSMENT | 5 Semester Hours | Tuition |
| | | | Price: \$1,250 |

Appendix D 2010-2011 Reading Endorsement Sequence

The MA with Certification program allows cohort members to complete an additional sequence of study to obtain their Washington State Reading Endorsement. The coursework includes four courses currently required in the elementary track of the program and adds four additional courses during the second summer of the program. In addition to the coursework, any candidate seeking the Reading Endorsement must also successfully pass the West-E in Reading and complete a PPA (if necessary).

- ◆ The **MA with Certification Reading Endorsement sequence consists of 20** semester hours from Summer 2010 through summer 2011.
- ◆ The four additional courses are a total of 12 credits. The charge for these courses is \$250.00 per credit.
- ◆ Contacts:

| | |
|---|--|
| School of Education & Movement Studies | Dr. Ron Byrnes 253-535-7286 byrnesrs@plu.edu |
| Student Services Center | Marcia Pecchia 253-535-7165 pecchime@plu.edu |
| (Financial Aid/Payment Options/Billing) | |

| SUMMER 2010 | 2 Semester Hours | Tuition included in program price |
|---|-------------------------|--|
| EDUC 510 The Acquisition and Development of Language and Literacy July 19-29, MTWR 8:30-3:00, Thirumurthy | | 2 semester hours |

| FALL 2010 | 2 Semester Hours | Tuition included in program price |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| EDUC 565 Teaching Elementary Reading, Language Arts, and Social Studies Monday, 3:40-5:25, Thirumurthy | | 2 semester hours |

| J-Term 2011 | 4 Semester Hours | Tuition included in program price |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| EDUC 528 Reading & Writing Across the K-8 Curriculum TBA | | 2 semester hours |
| EDUC 564 The Arts, Mind, & Body TBA | | 2 semester hours |

| SUMMER 2011 | 12 Semester Hours | Approximate Tuition Price: \$3,000 |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| EDUC 411 Literacy Strategies TBA | | 4 semester hours |
| EDUC 413 Literacy Assessment TBA | | 4 semester hours |
| EDUC 431 Children's Writing TBA | | 2 semester hours |
| EDUC 490 Language Acquisition TBA | | 2 semester hours |

Appendix E
Professional Growth Plan Entry Submission Timeline

| T1 | T2 | T3 |
|---|--|--|
| <p>PGP Entry #1 – 5.1.A-C</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence provided for each criterion in Chalk & Wire (Lesson Plan) • Reflection on overall standard (max. 500 words) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Where am I now in relation to the standard? ○ How does my evidence support my assertions? ○ What are my professional goals for this standard? • Required in the following courses¹: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ EDUC 556 & SPED 577 <p>PGP Entry #2 – 5.4.A-C</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence provided for each criterion in Chalk & Wire (Professional Position Statement) • Reflection on overall standard(max. 500 words) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Where am I now in relation to the standard? ○ How does my evidence support my assertions? ○ What are my professional goals for this standard? • Required in the following courses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ EDUC 544 | <p>PGP Entry #3 – 5.2.A-D</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence provided for each criterion in Chalk & Wire (Unit Plan) • Reflection on overall standard (max. 500 words) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Where am I now in relation to the standard? ○ How does my evidence support my assertions? ○ What are my professional goals for this standard? • Required in the following courses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ EDUC 561 & 565 <p>PGP Entry # 4– 5.3.A-D</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence provided for each criterion in Chalk & Wire (Management Plan) • Reflection on overall standard (max. 500 words) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Where am I now in relation to the standard? ○ How does my evidence support my assertions? ○ What are my professional goals for this standard? • Required in the following courses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ EDUC 561 & SPED 520 | <p>Portfolio required based on Standard V and Professional Growth Plan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence provided for each criterion in Chalk & Wire (14 total – must include Student Based Evidence for Standards 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3) • Reflection on each standard (4 total – max. 1000 words per standard/description of practice) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Where am I now in relation to the description of practice for each component of Standard V? ○ How does my evidence support my assertions? ○ What are my professional goals for this standard? • Presented as part of final TPR • Required in the following courses: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ EDUC 563B |

¹ Each common task is weighted as 20% of the course grade and is assessed by the instructor of record for the course in which it is assigned.

Appendix F
Dept. of Instructional Development & Leadership
Professional Growth Plan Scoring Rubric

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--|---|--|---|
| <p>Criterion partially met or not met at all. Uneven presentation, limited evidence, partial demonstration of credible and convincing teacher and student-based evidence. Description, analysis, and reflection do not provide a clear view of evidence. Data and research play minimal role in decision making.</p> | <p>Approaching Criteria Presentation lacks strong description, analysis, and reflection. Some (more than 1) elements of credible and convincing teacher and student-based evidence are lacking or missing. The connection to the specific context of the classroom is present, but not compelling. Data and research may be present, but are superficial.</p> | <p>Criterion Met Clear presentation, appropriate description, analysis and reflection present in the demonstration of credible and convincing teacher and student-based evidence. The connection to the specific context of the classroom is clear and compelling. Data and research are referenced.</p> | <p>Exceeds Criteria Clear presentation, consistently thorough description, analysis, and reflection of teacher and student-based evidence, next steps are clear and thoughtfully presented. The connection to the specific context of the classroom is clear and compelling with a strong link to relevant data and research.</p> |

Appendix G
Dept. of Instructional Development & Leadership
Teacher Candidate Dispositions

1. Equity & Diversity Promotion

Candidates will cultivate respect for the diverse cultures, identities, and abilities students bring with them to school. They are further expected to recognize and capitalize on the ways in which ethnic and cultural traditions, economic conditions, and physical and developmental abilities profoundly shape different assumptions and behaviors about education. As part of their expressed commitment to equity, candidates will identify issues and challenges facing students, their families, their colleagues and the larger community and seek constructive strategies for addressing them in the context of their responsibilities as educators.

Indicators of equity and diversity promotion

Candidates will:

- Cultivate respect for diverse cultures, identities and abilities;
- Recognize and capitalize on the ways in which ethnicity, class and ability shape different assumptions and behaviors about education; and
- Identify issues and challenges facing students and their families, peers and the larger community and seek constructive strategies for addressing them in their program and school placement.

2. Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism

Candidates will articulate and assess their own values with an awareness of the communities and traditions that have helped shape them. They will recognize how others have arrived at values different from their own, and consider their views and values charitably and with an appreciation for the context in which they emerged. In addition to caring for themselves and for others, candidates will approach moral, spiritual, and intellectual development as a life-long process of making informed choices in one's personal and professional commitments. Candidates will abide by the Washington State Code of Professional Conduct (Chapter 181-87 WAC) at all times.

Indicators of engaged and empathetic professionalism

Candidates will:

- Articulate and assess their own values and consider the views and values of others charitably;
- Approach moral, spiritual and intellectual development as a life-long process; and
- Make informed choices in their professional commitments.

3. Conscientious and Cooperative Participation

Candidates will be punctual, communicative, patient and thoughtful in their relationships with colleagues, students and their families. Candidates are expected to practice active

listening and to work creatively to identify and clarify issues of concern. They will acknowledge and respond to conflicting ideas and principles, identify common interests where possible, and develop and promote effective strategies and interpersonal relationships for implementing cooperative actions in their program courses and school placements.

Indicators of conscientious and cooperative participation

Candidates will:

- Treat others with respect;
- Be punctual, attentive and actively listen; and
- Collaborate with others despite interpersonal differences.

4. Effective Expression

Candidates will communicate clearly, purposefully and professionally. They will express themselves in honest and respectful ways, address others directly (as opposed to talking behind their backs) and be mindful that nonverbal behaviors are subject to varied interpretations. Candidates will also adapt messages to various audiences using appropriate media, convention and styles. Because dress and attire are forms of expression, candidates are expected to wear professional attire in their school placements.

Indicators of effective expression

Candidates will:

- Communicate clearly, effectively and professionally;
- Use appropriate and respectful verbal and written communication and contextualize communications to various audiences using appropriate media, convention and styles; and
- Wear appropriate professional attire.

5. Critical Reflection

Critical reflection involves both an internal dialogue about one's learning and emerging instructional practice as well as public dialogue with colleagues, students, parents and community members about the quality of teaching and learning in schools. Candidates are expected to analyze issues from multiple perspectives and understand and explain divergent viewpoints on complex educational issues. Candidates will not only evaluate the assumptions behind and consequences of their decisions, but also assess possible solutions to problems they encounter in their program, school and classrooms by carefully considering the support available for proposed solutions.

Indicators of critical reflection

Candidates will:

- Critically reflect on their learning and instructional practice by evaluating the assumptions behind and consequences of decisions;
- Explain divergent viewpoints on complex educational issues; and
- Assess possible solutions to problems in their program, school and classrooms and carefully consider the support available for proposed solutions.

Appendix H

Disposition Scoring Rubric for Teacher Candidate Self-Assessment

Rubric Scoring directions: Score yourself according to the indicators that most closely approximate your beliefs about yourself. If you score indicators in more than one column on a dispositional category, use either the column with the most scores or the one that most closely approximates performance as the final score for that category.

| Dispositions ↓ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| Equity & Diversity Promotion | <p>Candidate rarely or never:</p> <p>Cultivates respect for diverse cultures, identities and abilities;</p> <p>Recognizes and capitalizes on the ways in which ethnicity, class and ability shape different assumptions and behaviors about education;</p> <p>Identifies issues and challenges facing students and their families, peers and the larger community and seeks constructive strategies for addressing them in his/her program and school placement.</p> | <p>Candidate sometimes:</p> <p>Cultivates respect for diverse cultures, identities and abilities;</p> <p>Recognizes and capitalizes on the ways in which ethnicity, class and ability shape different assumptions and behaviors about education;</p> <p>Identifies issues and challenges facing students and their families, peers and the larger community and seeks constructive strategies for addressing them in his/her program and school placement.</p> | <p>Candidate consistently:</p> <p>Cultivates respect for diverse cultures, identities and abilities;</p> <p>Recognizes and capitalizes on the ways in which ethnicity, class and ability shape different assumptions and behaviors about education;</p> <p>Identifies issues and challenges facing students and their families, peers and the larger community and seeks constructive strategies for addressing them in his/her program and school placement.</p> | <p>Candidate exemplifies how one:</p> <p>Cultivates respect for diverse cultures, identities and abilities;</p> <p>Recognizes and capitalizes on the ways in which ethnicity, class and ability shape different assumptions and behaviors about education;</p> <p>Identifies issues and challenges facing students and their families, peers and the larger community and seeks constructive strategies for addressing them in his/her program and school placement.</p> |
| Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism | <p>Candidate rarely or never:</p> <p>Articulates and assesses his/her own values and considers the views and values of others charitably; and</p> <p>Approaches moral, spiritual and intellectual development as a life-long process; and</p> <p>Makes informed choices in their professional commitments.</p> | <p>Candidate sometimes:</p> <p>Articulates and assesses his/her own values and considers the views and values of others charitably; and</p> <p>Approaches moral, spiritual and intellectual development as a life-long process; and</p> <p>Makes informed choices in their professional commitments.</p> | <p>Candidate consistently:</p> <p>Articulates and assesses his/her own values and considers the views and values of others charitably; and</p> <p>Approaches moral, spiritual and intellectual development as a life-long process; and</p> <p>Makes informed choices in their professional commitments.</p> | <p>Candidate exemplifies how one:</p> <p>Articulates and assesses his/her own values and considers the views and values of others charitably;</p> <p>Approaches moral, spiritual and intellectual development as a life-long process; and</p> <p>Makes informed choices in their professional commitments.</p> |

| | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| Conscientious & Cooperative Participation | Candidate rarely or never: Treats others with respect; Is punctual, attentive and actively listens; and Collaborates with others despite interpersonal differences. | Candidate sometimes: Treats others with respect; Is punctual, attentive and actively listens; and Collaborates with others despite interpersonal differences. | Candidate consistently: Treats others with respect; Is punctual, attentive and actively listens; and Collaborates with others despite interpersonal differences. | Candidate exemplifies how one: Treats others with respect; Is punctual, attentive and actively listens; and Collaborates with others despite interpersonal differences. |
| Effective Expression | Candidate rarely or never: Communicates clearly, effectively and professionally; Uses appropriate and respectful verbal and written communication and contextualizes communications to various audiences using appropriate media, convention and styles; and Wears appropriate professional attire. | Candidate sometimes: Communicates clearly, effectively and professionally; Uses appropriate and respectful verbal and written communication and contextualizes communications to various audiences using appropriate media, convention and styles; and Wears appropriate professional attire. | Candidate consistently: Communicates clearly, effectively and professionally; Uses appropriate and respectful verbal and written communication and contextualizes communications to various audiences using appropriate media, convention and styles; and Wears appropriate professional attire. | Candidate exemplifies how one: Communicates clearly, effectively and professionally; Uses appropriate and respectful verbal and written communication and contextualizes communications to various audiences using appropriate media, convention and styles; and Wears appropriate professional attire. |
| Critical Reflection | Candidate rarely or never: Critically reflects on his/her learning and instructional practice by evaluating the assumptions behind and consequences of decisions; Explains divergent viewpoints on complex educational issues; and Assesses possible solutions to problems in his/her program, school and classroom and carefully considers the support available for proposed solutions. | Candidate sometimes: Critically reflects on his/her learning and instructional practice by evaluating the assumptions behind and consequences of decisions; Explains divergent viewpoints on complex educational issues; and Assesses possible solutions to problems in his/her program, school and classroom and carefully considers the support available for proposed solutions. | Candidate consistently: Critically reflects on his/her learning and instructional practice by evaluating the assumptions behind and consequences of decisions; Explains divergent viewpoints on complex educational issues; and Assesses possible solutions to problems in his/her program, school and classroom and carefully considers the support available for proposed solutions. | Candidate exemplifies how one: Critically reflects on his/her learning and instructional practice by evaluating the assumptions behind and consequences of decisions; Explains divergent viewpoints on complex educational issues; and Assesses possible solutions to problems in his/her program, school and classroom and carefully considers the support available for proposed solutions. |

Professional Growth Plan and Transitional Performance Review Integration

| Undergraduate Teacher Certification Program | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| TIME BLOCK 1 | | | TIME BLOCK 2 | | TIME BLOCK 3 | |
| | | | | | | |
| ITE | HUB 1 & 2 | TPR #1 | HUB 3 | TPR 2 | HUB 4 | TPR #3 |
| Candidate plans and leads 10 min instructional event, then reflects on performance in PGP Entries. | Candidate develops knowledge and understanding of course content; completes PGP entries per course requirements. | Candidate self-assessment of professional dispositions and essay explaining the score. Review of candidate performance to date using coursework, PGP and disposition rubric as evidence. | Candidate develops knowledge and understanding of course content; completes PGP entries per course requirements. | Candidate self-assessment of professional dispositions and essay explaining the score. Review of candidate performance to date using coursework, PGP and disposition rubric as evidence. | Candidate develops knowledge and understanding of course content; completes PGP entries per course requirements. | Final PGP entry demonstrating attainment of Standard V competencies. Completion of PowerPoint and presentation to faculty. Chalk and Wire entries translated into final PGP. |
| | PGP Entry 1 developed in EDUC 408, EPSY 368, EPSY 361. | Presentation of self-assessment to faculty. | PGP Entry 3 developed in EDUC 410, 412, 4xx. | Presentation of self-assessment to faculty. | Student teaching. | Portfolio presentation to faculty as part of final TPR. |
| | PGP Entry 2 developed in EDUC 424. | | PGP Entry 4 developed in EDUC 425. | | Final PGP developed in EDUC 450. | |

Appendix J TAAPS Glossary of Relevant Terms¹

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Analyze/Analysis: | Analysis means to “break apart” and examine the pieces, trends/patterns, etc. It must include an interpretation of the artifact submitted and conclusions based on the evidence. It shows assessors the thought processes the teacher candidate used to arrive at the conclusions made about a teaching situation. Analysis is called for when a prompt asks <u>how</u> , <u>why</u> , or <u>in what way(s)</u> . Teacher candidates use artifacts from student work to explain and illustrate their practice in relation to Standard V and also to explain and provide a context for the student work. |
| Candidate: | Teacher candidate enrolled in preservice certification program at Pacific Lutheran University. |
| Candidate self-assessment: | Self-assessment conducted by candidates analyzing their knowledge skills and dispositions in professional settings. |
| Chalk & Wire: | Electronic-portfolio, assessment and data management and analysis program used in the Teacher Assessment of Performance and Practice System. |
| Common Evidence: | Refers to the Professional Position Statement, the Unit Plan, Lesson Plan and Classroom Management Plan that candidates complete as course assignments and then submit as evidence on their Professional Growth Plans. |
| Content: | The subject matter within an academic discipline or field of study. |
| Context: | The surrounding conditions. |
| Criteria: | The subcomponents of Standard V that candidates must submit and analyze evidence to demonstrate competency in. |
| Dispositions: | The social presentation, verbal and non-verbal communication, and actions of teacher candidates that reflect their values, commitments and tendency to act in a certain manner under given circumstances. PLU teacher candidates are assessed on the following five dispositional categories: <i>Equity and Diversity Promotion, Engaged & Empathetic Professionalism, Conscientious and Cooperative Participation, Effective Expression, and Critical Reflection.</i> |
| Evidence: | Items from a teacher candidate’s practice used to demonstrate positive impact on student learning. Evidence includes candidate coursework, field experience work, P-12 student work and student voice artifacts. |

¹ Some of the definitions featured in the TAAPS glossary come directly from the Washington State Professional Educator Standards Board (2009). Washington ProTeach Glossary.

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Explain: | To provide details that are accurate and precise or include clear and logical ordering of the elements or features of the event, person, concept, or strategy described including the features or elements that would allow an outsider to “see” whatever is provided as evidence. |
| Field-Based Experiences: | Teacher candidate experiences beyond the PLU campus that could include P-12 classrooms, non-profit organizations and/or other relevant neighborhood and community settings. |
| Field Evaluation: | Measurement or assessment of teacher candidate’s performance in their school placement. |
| Portfolio: | The collection of teacher and student artifacts, analysis, and reflections that the candidate utilizes to verify that she or he has met criteria in Standard V. |
| Positive Impact on Student Learning: | According to WAC 181-78A-010, the phrase “positive impact on student learning” refers to the “means that a teacher through instruction and assessment has been able to document students’ increased knowledge and/or demonstration of a skill or skills related to the state goals and/or essential academic learning requirements.” |
| Reflection: | Retrospective consideration of one’s practice, tells what you would infer from your analysis of a lesson or learning activity and what you will do either next time or differently as a result of this information. |
| Standard V: | Washington State teacher education approval standard specific to the assessment of the knowledge, skills and dispositions of teacher candidates. |
| Student: | Students enrolled in a Washington State P-12 classroom. |
| Student-Based Evidence: | This is work that comes directly from students and shows student voice (reflection/understanding). This work is used by the candidate to support and enhance evidence presented in the written commentary (e.g., excerpts from student reflections, exit slips, writing, surveys). |
| Student Voice: | Feedback from the P-12 student on his or her learning. This includes both the student’s analysis of the content as well as reflection on and articulation of the learning process. Voice may also be accompanied by student work, but student voice is the meta-cognitive process describing or demonstrating in developmentally appropriate ways connections to the student’s own learning experiences. Student voice is not the student’s work itself. |

Student Work: Artifacts of P-12 student performance. Includes (but not limited to) items such as completed projects, assessments, assignments, or presentations.

Teacher-Based Evidence: Items from a teacher's practice used to foster learning of the students and to support and enhance the evidence provided by the candidate within the written commentary. (e.g., teacher lessons, activities, assessments).

ACADEMIC PROGRAM CONTRACT (APC)

2008-09 Catalog

Degree: *Bachelor of Arts in Education*
Major: *Elementary Education*

Bachelor of Arts in Education (MEE1) Date Declared: _____ CERT ONLY

Academic Emphasis:

| | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> English/Language Arts | <input type="checkbox"/> Reading | <input type="checkbox"/> Special Education | <input type="checkbox"/> Visual Arts | <input type="checkbox"/> ML Humanities |
| <input type="checkbox"/> History | <input type="checkbox"/> Social Studies | <input type="checkbox"/> ML Math | <input type="checkbox"/> ML Science | <input type="checkbox"/> Math |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chemistry | <input type="checkbox"/> Physics | <input type="checkbox"/> Biology | <input type="checkbox"/> Earth Science | <input type="checkbox"/> Science |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinese | <input type="checkbox"/> French | <input type="checkbox"/> German | <input type="checkbox"/> Spanish | <input type="checkbox"/> Health/Fitness |

Bachelor of Arts in Education (MDSP) Date Declared: _____ Other _____

Dual Elementary & Special Education Endorsement

Student Name: _____ ID Number: _____

ADVISOR: _____ University Supervisor: _____

Student Teaching Placement(s): _____

ADMISSION REQUIREMENTS

PSYC 101: _____ WRIT 101: _____ GPA: _____

WEST-B EXAM

Reading Passed Date: _____ Score: _____

Writing Passed Date: _____ Score: _____

Math Passed Date: _____ Score: _____

OTHER DEGREE REQUIREMENTS

- Student must maintain a cum GPA of 2.5 or better & complete a minimum of 128 semester hours
- In addition to the requirements of the BAE, all other requirements of the University, as listed in the catalog, must be completed
- Grade of B- or higher in all professional education coursework
- Grade of C or higher in all coursework required for licensure
- WEST-E Exam in endorsement area(s) prior to student teaching

Endorsement Area(s)

| Area | Date | Score |
|----------|------|-------|
| 1) _____ | | |
| 2) _____ | | |
| 3) _____ | | |
| 4) _____ | | |

CERTIFICATION AND EXIT REQUIREMENTS

Note to Registrar: Requirements listed below are for SEMS purposes only. They are not intended for Graduation application reviews.

- Initial application for Teaching Certificate & \$35 payment
- Moral Character & Fitness Form. ('Yes' answers cleared)
- Fingerprint Clearance (exp date: _____)
- Liability Insurance Waiver
- Verification of program completion
- Dean's Affidavit
- PGP Portfolio Pedagogy Date: _____

ADDITIONAL ENDORSEMENT REQUIREMENTS

| COURSE | CR | GRD | NOTES |
|------------------------------------|------|-----|-------|
| MATH 123 (before Term II) | (4) | | |
| Physical Science | (4) | | |
| Life Science | (4) | | |
| PHED 322 (after Term I) | (2) | | |
| MUSI 341 (after Term I) | (2) | | |
| ARTD 341 (after Term I) | (2) | | |
| ELEMENTARY PROFESSIONAL SEQUENCE | | | |
| TERM I Fall _____ | | | |
| SPED 320 Child Abuse | (1) | | |
| EDUC 390 Inquiry into Learning I | (4) | | |
| EDUC 392 Inquiry into Learning II | (4) | | |
| EDUC 394 Technology & Teaching | (2) | | |
| TERM II Spring _____ | | | |
| EDUC 406 Math in K-8 | (4) | | |
| EDUC 408 Lit in K-8 | (4) | | |
| EDUC 424 Inquiry into Teaching I | (4) | | |
| SPED 424 Learners w/ Special Needs | (4) | | |
| TERM III Fall _____ | | | |
| EDUC 410 Sci/Health in K-8 | (4) | | |
| EDUC 412 Social Studies in K-8 | (4) | | |
| EDUC 425 Inquiry into Teaching II | (4) | | |
| TERM IV Spring _____ | | | |
| EDUC 430 Student Teaching (Elem) | (10) | | |
| EDUC 450 Seminar | (2) | | |
| or | | | |
| EDUC 434 Student Teaching (Dual) | (6) | | |
| SPED 459 Student Teaching SPED | (6) | | |
| EDUC 450 Seminar | (2) | | |
| SPED 460 Seminar SPED | (1) | | |

ADVISING

| Student Initial | Adviser Initial | Date |
|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

ACADEMIC PROGRAM CONTRACT (APC)

2008-09 Catalog

Degree: *Bachelor of Arts in Education*
Major: *Secondary Education*

Bachelor of Arts in Education (MSE1) Date Declared: _____ CERT ONLY

Teaching Endorsement:

| | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> English/Language Arts | <input type="checkbox"/> Reading | <input type="checkbox"/> ELL | <input type="checkbox"/> Special Education |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mathematics | <input type="checkbox"/> Science | <input type="checkbox"/> Biology | <input type="checkbox"/> Chemistry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Earth Science | <input type="checkbox"/> Physics | <input type="checkbox"/> Social Studies | <input type="checkbox"/> History |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinese | <input type="checkbox"/> French | <input type="checkbox"/> German | <input type="checkbox"/> Spanish |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Visual Arts | <input type="checkbox"/> Theatre Arts | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | |

Student Name: _____ ID Number: _____

ADVISOR: _____ University Supervisor: _____

Student Teaching Placement(s): _____

ADMISSION REQUIREMENTS

PSYC 101: _____ WRIT 101: _____ GPA: _____

WEST-B EXAM

Reading Passed Date: _____ Score: _____

Writing Passed Date: _____ Score: _____

Math Passed Date: _____ Score: _____

OTHER DEGREE REQUIREMENTS

- Maintain minimum cumulative GPA of 2.5
- In addition to the requirements of the BAE, all other requirements of the University, as listed in the catalog, must be completed including completing minimum of 128 semester hours
- Grade of B- or higher in all professional education coursework
- Grade of C or higher in all coursework required for licensure
- WEST-E Exam** in endorsement area(s) prior to student teaching

Endorsement Area(s)

| Area | Date | Score |
|----------|------|-------|
| 1) _____ | | |
| 2) _____ | | |
| 3) _____ | | |
| 4) _____ | | |

CERTIFICATION AND EXIT REQUIREMENTS

Note to Registrar: Requirements listed below are for SEMS purposes only. They are not intended for Graduation application reviews.

- Initial application for Teaching Certificate & \$35 payment
- Moral Character & Fitness Form. ('Yes' answers cleared)
- Fingerprint Clearance (exp date: _____)
- Liability Insurance Waiver
- Verification of program completion
- Dean's Affidavit

PGP Portfolio Pedagogy Date: _____

SECONDARY PROFESSIONAL SEQUENCE

| TERM I Fall _____ | | | |
|---|------|--|--|
| SPED 320 Child Abuse | (1) | | |
| EDUC 390 Inquiry into Learning I | (4) | | |
| EDUC 392 Inquiry into Learning II | (4) | | |
| EDUC 394 Technology & Teaching | (2) | | |
| NOTE: Additional degree requirements to be completed prior to Term II | | | |
| TERM II Spring _____ | | | |
| EPSY 368 Educational Psychology | (4) | | |
| EDUC 424 Inquiry into Teaching I | (4) | | |
| SPED 424 Learners w/ Special Needs | (4) | | |
| TERM III Fall _____ | | | |
| EDUC 425 Inquiry into Teaching II | (4) | | |
| EDUC 44__ Subject Area Methods | (4) | | |
| TERM IV Spring _____ | | | |
| EDUC 468 Student Teaching (Sec) | (10) | | |
| EDUC 450 Seminar | (2) | | |

ADVISING

| Student Signature | Adviser Signature | Date |
|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

All requirements of IDL have been met, except for those listed below:

Dept Approval (Assoc Dean/Designate) _____ Date _____