Pacific Lutheran University School of Nursing Systematic Evaluation Plan Revised 04/29/2020

This School of Nursing (SoN) Systematic Evaluation Plan (SEP 2.1) is a revision of the SEP developed in 2016 and revised in 2018. It provides a framework for SoN evaluation/assessment activities as required by the State of Washington Nursing Care Quality Assurance Commission (NCQAC) and by the Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE) Accreditation Standards. The purpose of the 2020 revision is to realign evaluation activities with 2018 CCNE standards.

The SEP 2.1 is organized according to the CCNE accreditation standards and key elements. Implementation of the SEP is the shared responsibility of the SoN Dean, Program Evaluation Committee (PEC), All Standing Committees and SoN faculty. Program evaluation/assessment is a shared responsibility of all SoN faculty and administrators, to that end, specific evaluation of each Standard and Element is assigned to specific committees or other entities as indicated within the SEP. In addition, not every element is designated to be evaluated every year. Thus the workload is spread throughout faculty and staff and over multiple semesters and years.

At the conclusion of the evaluation of each component, as described in the SEP, the responsible entity conducting the process will provide a report that describes whether the component was "met" or "not met". For any components deemed "not met" the responsible entity will draft a Program Improvement Plan (PIP) designed to move the component toward being "met" based on the data reviewed. The PIP will be sent to the PEC Committee to be reviewed at Evaluation Day

An annual SoN Evaluation Day is held early in fall semester as part of on-going SoN evaluation processes. Evaluation Day provides dedicated time for faculty to receive reports and PIPs from the committees or persons responsible for conducting the evaluation activities related to each component as identified by the SEP 2.1. Evaluation Day provides the opportunity for review and discussion, and if consensus is reached that recommended PIPs should be implemented PEC will forward the PIP to SNO for final approval. If consensus is not reached further discussion and decision for next steps will be developed by SNO.

If PIP is approved by SNO it will be forwarded to the appropriate entity for implementation with a time table. PEC will follow up to document the implementation of each PIP and include the date of implementation in the PEC minutes. PEC will monitor the outcome of the PIP implementation for the component deemed "not met" in the next cycle of evaluation to note any change in the outcome, thus providing evidence for "closing the loop" on the evaluation cycle for that particular component of the SEP. If PEC finds no improvement as a result of a PIP implemented, the chair of PIP will forward a report to SNO requesting further review of the issue.

The PEC will develop a list of the components to be addressed each semester according to the SEP. The list will be communicated each year at the first SNO meeting so each committee, or other responsible entity is informed of the evaluation activities required and the time table. PEC will maintain the SEP plan for each semester as well as the regularly collected program surveys and Evaluation Day minutes on a Sakai SoN Program Evaluation Site which will be maintained by the Administrative Assistant to the Dean in consultation with the PEC Chair.

The SEP is a dynamic document and shall be reviewed every two years as directed by Element IV-A and revised as needed.

PLU SON Systematic Evaluation Plan. v2.1

Component to be evaluated (labeled by CCNE Standard & Element of Accreditation criteria)	Activities Required to evaluate the component	Timeline and committee, individual or entity responsible for initiating activities to evaluate component	Expected qualitative or quanitative outcome measure needed to meet satisfactory evaluation decision	Where documentation of results of evaluation of component is documented	Is out- come Met or Not Met?	If Not Met What Action taken? Note: in most cases answer will be: PIP developed by responsible entity & sent to PEC. PEC will present PIP at Evaluation Day for consideration & discussion, and send to SNO for final decision.	If Action Taken Where is the action taken Documented Note: In most cases answer will be: See SNO Minutes (DATE)
STANDARD I: PROGRAM QUALITY	Y: MISSION AND GOVE	RNANCE					
I-A: SoN mission, vision goals,	Review SoN Values,	Responsibility:	Demonstrated	Curriculum Committee			
value statements are:	Vision, Mission,	Curriculum	Congruency by	Minutes (Date)			
 congruent with PLU mission, 	Philosophy	Committee (CIC)	crosswalk chart		Met		
vision, values and goal	statements <u>& PLU</u>		Prepared by CIC	Or in CIPR or Self Study			
statements	values, vision,	Q 3 Years and/or					
 reviewed periodically and 	mission & values	with self-studies,			Not		
revised as appropriate	statements	CIPR			Met		
	and analyze for	-Fall 2018 w/ CIPR			IVIET		
	<u>congruency</u>	for BSN & MSN and			(Not Met		
		- Fall 2021			requires		
		- 2023 w/ Self Study			PIP)		
		-DNP Spring 2019			' ' '		
		- Fall 2022					

 I-A: SoN Mission, Goals and expected program outcomes are: congruent with PLU mission, goals, and expected outcomes reviewed periodically and revised as appropriate 	Review SoN and PLU Mission, Goals & Expected Outcomes and analyze for congruency	Responsibility: CIC Q 3 Yrs or with self- studies, CIPRs. -Fall 2018 w/ CIPR -Fall 2023 w/ Self Study	Demonstrated Congruency by Crosswalk Chart by CIC	Curriculum Committee with DATE Or in CIPR or Self Study	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
I-B: SoN Mission, Goals and expected program outcomes are consistent with relevant professional nursing standards and guidelines for the preparation of nursing professionals • Includes AACN Essentials of BSN (2008), MSN (2011), and Doctoral (2006) Education • Includes NTF Criteria (2012) • Includes appropriate standards & guidelines for APRN specialties/ concentrations • Additional professional standards and guidelines are identified	The mission & goals of the SoN are periodically reviewed & reflect the use of professional nursing standards and guidelines. Identify the required Professional Stds & Guidelines used in the Nursing programs: • BSN Essentials (2008) • MSN Essentials (2011) • Essentials of Doctoral Education (2006) • NTF Criteria (2012)	Responsibility: Curriculum CIC, Dean, Program Coordinators Q 3-4 years, with publication of new standards & guidelines; and major curriculum revisions, self-studies, CIPRs -Fall 2018 for BSN & MSN w/ CIPR -Fall 2021 Spring 2019 for DNP w/ CIPR -2023 w/ Self Study	Appropriate professional standards & guidelines are clearly identified and updated and included in curriculum description in catalog, student handbook, web site mission/goals/pro gram outcomes reflect professional standards as evidenced in a crosswalk chart	Curriculum Committee Minutes (DATE) Copy of CIPR or Self Study ? Web Site	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
	Guidelines:					

,		 -	 	
-Competencie	es and			
Curricular				
Expectations				
Clinical Nurse				
Leader Educa	tion			
and Practice	(AACN,			
2013)				
-Nurse Practi	tioner			
Competencie	s in			
Specialty Are	as:			
• Family NP				
Competence	ies			
(2013)				
• PMHNP				
Competence	ies			
(2013)				
NONPF NP	Core			
Competence	ies			
(2014)				
• Additional				
Professiona	l Stds			
& Guideline				
as reference	es:			
- Code for Nu	rses			
with Interpre				
Statements (A				
2015);				
- Nursing: Sci	ope			
and Standard				
Practice, 2 nd E				
(ANA, 2010);				
-Nursing's So				
Policy Statem				
Essence of the				

	Profession) (ANA, 2010) - End-of-Life Nursing Education Consortium (ELNEC) guidelines (http://www.aacn.n che.edu/elnec/elnec-publications); - Quality and Safety in the Education of Nurses (QSEN) Competencies(http://qsen.org/competencies/) - National Council of State Boards of Nursing (NCSBN) National Council Licensure Examination (NCLEX) Test Plan -Washington NCQAC Administrative Code					
I-C: SoN mission and goals & program outcomes reflect the needs and expectations of the community of interest (COI)	Review of the mission & goals of the SoN are periodically reviewed & reflect the needs of the COI The COI is defined as: • Students • Faculty	Responsibility: PEC and Dean Q 3 years and/or with self-studies, CIPRs, new publication of Standards -Fall 2018 w/cipr -Fall 2021	COI's needs are reflected in curriculum as evidenced by examples of Minutes from advisory councils, student & alumni survey results, preceptor evaluations,	Program Evaluation Committee Minutes (DATE) Or in copy of CIPR of Self Study With CIPR 2018 Fall 2021	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

	 Alumni Employers Practice Partners SoN Advisory Councils the University 		examples of university service			
I-D: SoN expectations for faculty:	Review PLU & SON Faculty Handbook to identify individual faculty outcomes, evaluate congruence, accessibility and appropriateness.	RAD Committee Every two years (even) and/or w/ CIPR & Self Study Fall 2018 w/CIPR Fall 2020 Responsibility: -RAD Committee	Review & analysis shows outcomes are identified, communicated and congruent with PLU outcomes as evidenced by written report from RAD to SNO	-RAD Minutes (DATE) Or in CIPR or SS	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
I-E: Faculty and students participate in program governance.	Review of SON By- Laws related to committee structures and purpose to see faculty & student roles in governance Random Review of minutes of all committees in recent 3 years and report to SNO	Exec Committee Every two years (odd) or w/CIPR/SS Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2021 Fall 2013 w/ ss	Analysis of review shows faculty & student participation in governance as evidenced by written Exec Comm report to SNO	SNO minutes includes report from Exec Committee Or in CIPR/SS Reports	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

I-F: Academic Policies of PLU	Review of policies in	RAP Committee	Academic policies	SNO minutes (DATE)	Met	
and SON are congruent &	catalog, on web site,		support M/G/O,	include report from		
support mission/goals/ &	in recruitment	Annually in late	are fair, equitable,	RAP	Not	
expected program outcomes.	material, on social	spring or summer	published,	KAP	Met	
Policies are:	media, faculty		accessible,			
Fair & equitable	handbook, student		reviewed &			
Published & Accessible	handbook, faculty		revised as needed;			
Reviewed & Revised as	orientation manual,		Fair and		(Not Met	
necessary to foster program	syllabi, and any		equitable;		requires	
improvement	other place policies		Published and		PIP)	
·	are published.		accessible, and			
Policies include:			Congruent with			
Student Recruitment Policies			PLU/prof stds.			
Admission Policies			As evidenced by			
Retention Policies			chart provided by			
Progression Policies			chair of RAP to			
Student Hearing Policies			SNO			
Disciplinary Action Policies						
Other Academic Policies						
I-G: The program defines and	Review of SON	RAP Committee in	-Complaint policy	RAP Minutes (DATE)	Met	
reviews formal complaints	policy to determine	consultation with	and procedures	and SNO minutes		
according to established	there is a definition	Coordinator of	(student	(DATE) will include a	Not	
policies.	of what constitutes	admissions.	grievances,	report from RAP with	Met	
	a formal complaint	Every three years	petitions) are	results of review and		
	from students	Spring 2015	communicated in		(Not Met	
	and/or others.	Fall 2018 w/ CIPR	PLU and SON	analysis.	requires	
	There is A process	Fall 2021	documents		PIP)	
	for maintaining	Fall 2023 w/ SS	(handbooks,			
	accurate records of		catalog, web site)			
	formal complaints,		-A record of			
	and procedures for		Formal			
	filing are		Complaints,			
	communicated to constituents.		grievances & petitions is			
	Formal complaint		complete, and			
	procedures are		secure.			
	procedures are		secure.			

	congruent with PLU policy. Review of timely responsiveness and due process to complaints. - Compile record of formal complaints, grievance, and petitions					
I-H: Documents and Publications are accurate A process is used to notify constituents about changes in documents and publications. (examples: Catalog -Program offerings -Outcomes -Accreditation statements -Calendar -Recruitment & Admission Policies -Grading Policies -Degree/Cert requirements -Tuition -Fees -Licensure & Certification eligibility info -Transcripts, etc.	Review of documents & pubs for accuracy and currency. Documents to be reviewed: -SON Website -Faculty Handbook -Student Handbooks -Recruitment & Marketing Brochures -Social Media sites -Faculty Orientation Handbook Review policy to document process for notifying constituents of changes.	Responsibility: Dean or designee in consultation with Committee Chairs, Admissions Coord, Clinical Placement Coord, Lab Coordinator, Program Coordinators Annually in Summer	Documents are accurate and current as evidenced by Written Report from Dean to SNO annually in September	SNO Minutes to include report from Dean in Sept.	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

STANDARD II: PROGRAM QUALITY: INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT AND RESOURCES Component to be evaluated **Activities** Timeline and **Expected** Where Is out-If Not Met What Action If Action Taken (labeled by CCNE Standard Required to committee. qualitative or Where is the action documentation of come taken? & Element of Accreditaindividual or quanitative Met or taken Documented evaluate the results of tion criteria) Not Met Note: in most cases answer entity outcome component evaluation of will be: PIP developed by responsible for measure component is responsible entity & sent to Note: In most cases initiating needed to documented PEC. PEC will present PIP at activities to answer will be: See meet **Evaluation Day for** evaluate satisfactory **SNO Minutes (DATE** evaluation consideration & discussion. component decision and send to SNO for final decision **II-A:** Fiscal & Physical Resources Budget is Dean, and SoN -Dean. Met are sufficient to fulfill M/G/EO sufficient, M/G/O SNO minutes (DATE) administrative team Administrators & and is reviewed periodically and Exec Committee (EC) are achieved, review Budget for Includes written Not modified as needed recent 3 years for Every 3 years (odd) supports report of state of Met sufficiency to fulfill or with CIPR/SS evaluation, resources to SNO M/G/0, provides adequate Review faculty & CIPR 2018 faculty & staff, (Not Met Fall 2021 student satisfaction supports requires data recruitment & PIP) retention, provides adequate salaries (Achieve mean of AACN salary data for Religious Institutions, Western Region), provides adequate space (classrooms, labs, offices), supplies, equipment,

II-B: Physical Resources and clinical sites are sufficient to fulfill M/G/EO and adequacy is reviewed periodically and resources are modified as needed	Dean, and SoN administrative team review Budget for recent 3 years for sufficiency to fulfill M/G/O, Review faculty & student satisfaction data	-Dean, Administrators & Exec Committee (EC) Every 3 years (odd) or with CIPR/SS CIPR 2018 Fall 2021	classroom & Lab technology, as evidenced by Dean's report to SNO (NOTE: Requires development. Some to move from II-A)			
II-C: Academic support services are sufficient to meet program and student needs & are evaluated on a regular basis	Dean & EC review academic support services for recent 3 years for sufficiency Including: Library, Technology, Data mgmt. system, research/scholarship, admission, advising, etc. Review Student, Faculty Satisfaction data	Dean and EC Every 3 years (even) or w/ CIPR & SS CIPR 2018 Spring 2021 SS 2023	All needed services are provided and meet program, faculty and student needs as evidenced by analysis in written report provided by EC to SNO	SNO minutes (DATE)	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
 II-D: The Chief Nurse Administrator of the SoN: is a registered nurse (RN) holds a grad degree in nursing holds a Doctorate 	EC reviews CV, collects faculty and student satisfaction survey data, Reviews accomplishments of Dean	EC Every 3 years (odd) or w/ CIPR/SS CIPR 2018 Spring 2021 SS 2023	Chief Nurse Administrator meets qualifications as evidenced by written report provided by EC to SNO	SNO Minutes (DATE) To include EC written report to SNO	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

 is vested the administrative authority to accomplish M/G/EO provides effective leadership 						
 II-E: Faculty are: -Sufficient in Number -Academically prepared for areas in which they teach -Experientially prepared for areas in which they teach 	RAD Committee Chair -Identifies formula &Calculates FTEs & Faculty to student ratios & analyzes sufficiency -Creates chart to show education, certification & experience compared to academic areas they teach and analyzes appropriateness Review student satisfaction data	RAD Committee Every 3 years (odd) Or w/ CIPR/SS Fall CIPR 2018 Fall 2021 SS 2023	-Faculty are sufficient in number to deliver all courses (according to workload formula), achieve all committee work, meet individual & aggregate expected outcomes, -faculty-to-student ratio is similar to other SON -Qualifications are adequate and match teaching assignments As evidenced by RAD report to SNO	SNO Minutes (DATE) To include RAD written report to SNO	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
II-F: Preceptors (e.g., mentors,	Review of program	Course Coordinators		SNO Minutes (DATE)	Met	
guides, coaches), if used by the	and course	& Clinical Placement	-Preceptors meet	will include report from		
program as an extension of	documents that	Coordinator	WAC and CCNE	Clinical Placement	Not	
faculty, are academically and	describe roles and	Every two years	requirements	Coordinator	Met	
experientially qualified for their	qualifications of	(even) or w/ CIPR &	-Roles,		/NI=+ NA=+	
role.	Preceptors (Facuty	SS	qualifications and		(Not Met	
	& Student		Responsibilities		requires	
	Handbooks, Course	CIPR 2018	are clearly		PIP)	

II-F: PLU & SON provide and	Syllabi, Course Documents, WAC regulations, Review of support	Spring 2020 RAD Committee	described in program and course documents as evidenced by analysis in written report from Clinical Placement Coordinator to SNO Faculty have	RAD Minutes (DATE &	Met	
support an environment that encourages faculty teaching, scholarship, service, and practice in keeping with the mission, goals, and expected faculty outcomes.	and resources available for on- going faculty development at university & SON levels Review of individual and aggregate faculty outcomes Review of faculty satisfaction data	Every two years (odd) or with CIPR/SS CIPR 2018 Spring 2019 Spring 2021	opportunities for scholarship of teaching -The institution provides resources to support scholarship -Faculty have opportunities to support clinical practice Service expectations are defined	SNO Minutes (DATE) Include written report of analysis from RAD to SNO	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

Component to be evaluated (labeled by CCNE Standard & Element of Accreditation criteria)	Activities Required to evaluate the component	Timeline and committee, individual or entity responsible for initiating activities to evaluate component	Expected qualitative or quanitative outcome measure needed to meet satisfactory evaluation decision	Where documentation of results of evaluation of component is documented	Is out- come Met or Not Met	If Not Met What Action taken? Note: in most cases answer will be: PIP developed by responsible entity & sent to PEC. PEC will present PIP at Evaluation Day for consideration & discussion, and send to SNO for final decision	If Action Taken Where is the action taken Documented Note: In most cases answer will be: See SNO Minutes (DATE
 III-A: The curriculum is developed, implemented, and revised to reflect clear statements of expected student outcomes that: are congruent with the program's mission and goals; are congruent with the roles for which the program is preparing its graduates; and consider the needs of the program—identified community of interest. 	Develop Tables to show congruence for Unit, Course, Program Outcomes with M/G for each track of each program.	Curriculum Committee (CIC) Every three years (odd) or w/ CIPR or SS Fall 2018 w/CIPR Fall 2021	Tables show congruence among outcomes and reflect appropriate roles for program graduates	CIC Minutes (DATE) & SNO Minutes (DATE) to include Congruency Table sent from CIC	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)		
III-B: Baccalaureate curricula are developed, implemented, and revised to reflect relevant	Develop crosswalk tables to show incorporation of	CIC in consultation with appropriate	Crosswalk tables show congruency between PO & M/G	Curriculum Committee minutes (DATE) And	Met		

professional nursing standards and guidelines, which are clearly evident within the curriculum and within the expected student outcomes (individual and aggregate). • Baccalaureate program curricula incorporate The Essentials of Baccalaureate Education for Professional Nursing Practice (AACN, 2008).	relevant Professional Standards and BSN, Essentials. Develop Crosswalk Tables to show how curriculum components reflect evidence of required professional standards. (EX: Course objectives, portfolios, key assignments, N499 capstone projects) Review of Curriculum plan	lead faculty for each track of each pgrm. Every two years (even) of w/ CIPR or SS Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2020	and examples of curriculum components reflect evidence of Prof Standards/Guidelines Student surveys show student satisfaction with meeting program outcomes Faculty surveys show faculty satisfaction with students meeting program outcomes	SNO minutes (DATE) to include report of results sent by CIC to SNO	(Not Met requires PIP)	
III-C: Master's curricula are developed, implemented, and revised to reflect relevant professional nursing standards and guidelines, which are clearly evident within the curriculum and within the expected student outcomes (individual and aggregate). • Master's program curricula incorporate professional standards and guidelines as appropriate. a. All master's degree programs incorporate The Essentials of	Develop crosswalk tables to show incorporation of relevant Professional Standards, guidelines and BSN and MSN Essentials Develop Crosswalk Tables to show how curriculum components reflect evidence of required	CIC in consultation with appropriate lead faculty for each track of each pgrm. Every two years (even) of w/ CIPR or SS Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2020	Crosswalk tables show congruency between PO & M/G and examples of curriculum components reflect evidence of Prof Standards/Guidelines Student surveys show student satisfaction with meeting program outcomes	Curriculum Committee minutes (DATE) And SNO minutes (DATE) to include report of results sent by CIC to SNO	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

Master's Education in Nursing (AACN, 2011) and additional relevant professional standards and guidelines as identified by the program. b. All master's degree programs that prepare nurse practitioners incorporate Criteria for Evaluation of Nurse Practitioner Programs (NTF, 2016). • Graduate-entry master's program curricula incorporate The Essentials of Baccalaureate Education for Professional Nursing Practice (AACN, 2008) and appropriate graduate program standards and guidelines.	professional standards. (EX: Course objectives, portfolios, key assignments, N596 capstone projects, scholarly inquiry papers, scholarship projects). NTF applicable? Review of Curriculum plan		Faculty surveys show faculty satisfaction with students meeting program outcomes			
 III-D: DNP curricula are developed, implemented, and revised to reflect relevant professional nursing standards and guidelines, which are clearly evident within the curriculum and within the expected student outcomes (individual and aggregate). DNP program curricula incorporate professional standards and guidelines as appropriate. a. All DNP programs incorporate The Essentials of Doctoral Education for Advanced Nursing Practice (AACN, 2006) and additional relevant professional 	Develop crosswalk tables to show incorporation of relevant Professional Standards, Guidelines, DNP Essentials, and NTF Criteria. Develop Crosswalk Tables to show how curriculum components reflect evidence of required professional standards. (EX:	CIC in consultation with appropriate lead faculty for each track of each pgrm. Every two years (even) of w/ CIPR or SS Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2020	Crosswalk tables show congruency between PO & M/G and examples of curriculum components reflect evidence of Prof Standards/Guidelines Student surveys show student satisfaction with meeting program outcomes Faculty surveys show faculty satisfaction with students	Curriculum Committee minutes (DATE) And SNO minutes (DATE) to include report of results sent by CIC to SNO	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

standards and guidelines if identified by the program. b. All DNP programs that prepare nurse practitioners incorporate Criteria for Evaluation of Nurse Practitioner Programs (NTF, 2016). • Graduate-entry DNP program curricula incorporate The Essentials of Baccalaureate Education for Professional Nursing Practice (AACN, 2008) and appropriate graduate program standards and guidelines.	Course objectives, portfolios, key assignments, scholarly inquiry papers, DNP projects) Review of Curriculum plan		meeting program outcomes Curriculum includes 3-Ps separate courses for APRN			
 III-E: Post-graduate APRN certificate program curricula are developed, implemented, and revised to reflect relevant professional nursing standards and guidelines, which are clearly evident within the curriculum and within the expected student outcomes (individual and aggregate). Post-graduate APRN certificate programs that prepare nurse practitioners incorporate Criteria for Evaluation of Nurse Practitioner Programs (NTF, 2016). 	Develop crosswalk tables to show incorporation of relevant Professional Standards, Guidelines, and NTF Criteria in certificate outcomes. Develop Crosswalk Tables to show how curriculum components reflect evidence of required professional standards. Review of Curriculum plan	CIC in consultation with appropriate lead faculty for each track of each pgrm. Every two years (even) of w/ CIPR or SS Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2020	Crosswalk tables show congruency between PO & M/G and examples of curriculum components reflect evidence of Prof Standards/Guidelines Student surveys show student satisfaction with meeting program outcomes Faculty surveys show faculty satisfaction with students meeting program outcomes	Curriculum Committee minutes (DATE) And SNO minutes (DATE) to include report of results sent by CIC to SNO	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

			Curriculum includes 3-Ps separate courses for APRN			
III-B+C+D+E: Curriculum of each program is designed to meet NCQAC WAC criteria as described in WAC Nursing Program Standards: -246-840-541 Pre-Licensure -246-840-542 RN-MSN -246-840-543 ARNP -246-840-544 Grad Nur Ed -246-840-531 Clinical & Practice Exp for all pgms	-Review designated curr components for congruence with criteria and develop charts/tables to show how each program meets each designated standard: BSN RN-MSN RN-MSN BSN-DNP MSN-DNP MSN-DNP Post-Graduate APRN Certificate programs	CIC in consultation with lead faculty of each pgrm. Every 3 years (odd) Spring 2016 Spring 2019 Spring 2022 & CIPR & SS	Charts/Tables and analysis demonstrates compliance with designated criterias EX: clinical hours tracked, gap analysis id, student records up to date, content & clinical req. met	CIC Minutes (DATE) and SNO minutes (DATE) that include report sent by CIC to SNO	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
III-F: Curriculum is logically structured to achieve expected Student Outcomes: -BSN is built on foundation of the arts, sciences, humanities	Review of BSN Curriculum to demonstrate for foundation of arts/science/ humanities	CIC in consultation with BSN, MSN, DNP lead faculty Every three years or w/ CIPR & SS Fall 2019 Fall 2022	Curriculum Requirements reflect foundation of arts/ Science/ humanities Required courses -Faculty and Student Surveys reflect value of arts/science/ Humanities -Key assignments & Portfolios reflect value of arts/science	Curriculum Committee minutes (DATE) And SNO minutes (DATE) will include report from CIC with analysis, charts & rationale supporting how programs build on each level and meet	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

		Humanities	appropriate essentials	
-RN-MSN and ELMSN programs	RN-MSN and		at graduation.	
demonstrate how students	ELMSN program			
acquire the AACN	review			
BaccEss(2008) and the AACN				
Master's Essentials (2011).		Curriculum analysis		
		shows logical		
		progress to achieve		
		bacc level		
		essentials		
-Master's and DNP curricula	Review of Masters	-Portfolio and		
builds on a foundation of	& DNP curricular	capstone projects		
baccalaureate level nursing	For analysis &	reflect progress to		
knowledge &	evidence that	outcomes		
Build to Essentials of Doctoral	students have			
Ed for ANP	knowledge &			
	competencies of	Admission criteria		
	BSN level and meet	reflects required BSN		
	Mas & DNP	level knowledge &		
-	Essentials & roles of	competencies		
	FNP & PMH DNP	-MSN & DNP		
		curriculum shows		
		logical progression of		
Doot Craduate ADDN contragrams	Review of Curr for	course work that build on BSN to DNP		
Post Graduate APRN cert pgrms build on MSN or DNP level	post grad cert show	level of essentials.		
nursing knowledge & comp.	how students build	Scholarly projects		
nursing knowledge & comp.	on grad level comp	reflect Essentials		
	to achieve APRN	Tellect Esselliais		
	outcomes			
	outcomes			
		Post grad cert pgms		
		Required courses		
		Demonstrate how		
		students build on		
		graduate knowledge		
		 & competencies		

III C. Tarakira Lagurina Danian af T.L. DAD Camprista San Suran Lagurina DAD Camprista	
III-G: Teaching-Learning Review of T-L RAP Committee Examples of A variety RAP Committee Met	
practices: practices Every three years & of teaching learning Minutes (DATE)	
• support the achievement of throughout w/ CIPR & SS practices utilized And SNO Minutes Not	
expected student outcomes programs show achievement of (DATE) will include Met	
for BSN, RN-MSN, ELMSN, Review of student Fall 2018 w/ CIPR outcomes as report, examples, and	
DNP, Post Grad cert & faculty surveys & Fall 2021 evidenced by an analysis sent to SNO	
programs End of course Fall 2023 w SS inventory of by RAP committee	
 consider the needs and evaluations practices used in (Not Met 	
expectations of the identified courses and analysis requires	
community of interest; and Review of data PEC Committee of achievement of PIP)	
• expose students to from constituents Every three years & outcomes PEC Committee	
individuals with diverse life in COI for evidence w/ CIPR & SS -Key Assignments Minutes (DATE) and	
experiences, perspectives, of reflection of Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Examples SNO minutes (DATE)	
and backgrounds. their needs being Fall 2021 -Portfolio Examples will include report	
met -Scholarly Project from PEC Committee	
FX: teedback trom	
preceptors -75% of Course with analysis of COI	
Feedback from Evaluations indicate needs met	
employers and agree or st. agree	
representatives of that teaching	
practice partners effectiveness	
on Advisory Council supports	
Feedback from achievement of	
students outcomes	
Alumni feedback -75% of exit surveys	
show students felt	
well or extremely	
well prepared for	
each end of program	
outcome.	
Preceptors feedback	
about curr & TL	
practices is solicited	
each semester	
-75% of Preceptor	
1 TOTO OF FICULATION	

			satisfaction with the curr & TL practices -Feedback is used for improvement -75% of students report satisfaction with curr & TL practices, including preceptors -Student feedback is used for improvement -Feedback about curr & TL needs is solicited from the Advisory Council periodically Alumni surveys reflect satisfaction w/ Curr & TL practices			
III-H: The curriculum includes planned clinical practice experiences that: • -Enable students to integrate knowledge and demonstrate attainment of program outcomes And	Review of all clinical practice experiences in each programs to evaluate the opportunity for students to achieve course and program outcomes And that clinical practice experiences align with the outcomes	RAP Committee every 4 years & w/ CIPR & SS Fall 2019 Fall 2023 w/ SS	Tables that show clinical experience of courses & programs that meet WAC requirements -Analysis that shows experiences align with course/program outcomes -Review of Evaluation Tools show relationship to course outcomes. Charts and analysis show clinical	RAP Minutes (DATE) and SNO Minutes will include report with analysis from RAP committee to SNO	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

 Foster interprofessional 		1.	experiences align		
			with role and		
collaborative practice					
And			specialty		
			competencies		
			Student & Faculty		
			surveys reflect		
			satisfaction with		
			clinical based		
			experience		
-			75% of student end		
		1	of course clinical		
			evaluations reflect		
		:	satisfaction w clinical		
Are evaluated by faculty	Review of all		exp.		
, ,	courses/programs				
	for clinical				
	experience		Examples of clinical		
	evaluation methods		evaluation tools for		
	Review of		each program and		
	evaluation tools		Description of		
			evaluation practices	Met	
			Reflect consistency &		
			effective evaluation	Not	
			practices	Met	
			p	WIEC	
			Faculty & Student		
			surveys reflect		
			satisfaction with	(Not Met	
			clinical evaluation	requires	
			methods	PIP)	
			inetiious	1 11 /	
			Clinical evaluation		
			records for all		
			students are		
			maintained on file		

III-I: Individual student performance is evaluated by the faculty and reflects achievement of expected student outcomes. Evaluation policies and procedures for individual student performance are defined and consistently applied	Review of student performance evaluation practices including clinical evaluation, Kaplan testing, Key Assignments relationship to outcomes, test grading, paper grading, intervention with at-risk student processes for evidence that they reflect achievement of expected outcomes, are defined clearly and consistently applied	RAD Committee Every two years & w/ CIPR & SS Fall w/ CIPR Fall 2020 Fall 2022	Policies are clearly stated in all syllabi and in student handbooks -Example of Rubrics used reflect clarity, consistency & achievement of goal -Kaplan tests are implemented consistently and according to policy -Portfolio review shows achievement of clinical hours, outcomes, Essentials -Faculty surveys reflect evaluation policies are clear, consistent and reflect intended outcome -Student surveys reflect evaluation policies of class & clinical are clear, consistent, and reflect intended outcome -Interventions of faculty with at risk students are related to outcomes, clear, consistent, and consistently applied.	RAD Minutes (DATE) and SNO Minutes will include report from RAD to SNO with analysis of performance evaluation practices and evaluation of their clarity, consistency and relationship to intended outcomes.	Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)		
---	--	---	---	--	--------------------------------	--	--

III-J: Curriculum and teaching-learning practices are evaluated at regularly scheduled intervals, and evaluation data are used to foster ongoing improvement	Review of regular evaluation of curriculum and TL practices that foster ongoing improvement EX: Facuty & Student Course Evaluations, Annual course summaries, cylical evaluation practices as CIPRs and SS, Facutly Assemblies, Exit Interviews, Alumni Surveys, Link between Key Teaching-Learning Assignments & course obj and program outcomes:	CIC Every 3 years 2016 2019 2022	-Course evaluations are completed at the end of every course by students & faculty -Student Faculty Assembly is held every semester to solicit program feedback -Preceptor surveys are solicited at the end of every course to solicit program feedback -Students evaluation preceptor experience at the end of every precepted course to solicit program feedback -Key Course assignments are reviewed and deemed to be	CIC Minutes (DATE) and SNO Minutes (DATE) will include report with analysis from CIC committee with degree to which regular Curr & TL practices are implemented and whether evaluation data is utilized appropriately to foster program improvement	- Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
	Alumni Surveys, Link between Key Teaching-Learning Assignments &		preceptor experience at the end of every precepted course to solicit program			
	program outcomes:		assignments are reviewed and			
			-Feedback from students, faculty, preceptors, COI, is utilized to make program improvements			

STANDARD IV: PROGRAM E	STANDARD IV: PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS: ASSESSMENT AND ACHIEVEMENT OF PROGRAM OUTCOMES									
Component to be evaluated (labeled by CCNE Standard & Element of Accreditation criteria)	Activities Required to evaluate the component	Timeline and committee, individual or entity responsible for initiating activities to evaluate component	Expected qualitative or quanitative outcome measure needed to meet satisfactory evaluation decision	Where documentation of results of evaluation of component is documented	Is out- come Met or Not Met	If Not Met What Action taken? Note: in most cases answer will be: PIP developed by responsible entity & sent to PEC. PEC will present PIP at Evaluation Day for consideration & discussion, and send to SNO for final decision	If Action Taken Where is the action taken Documented Note: In most cases answer will be: See SNO Minutes (DATE			
IV-A: A written on-going & periodically reviewed Systematic Process to Determine Program Effectiveness	Review of the Systematic Evaluation Plan (SEP) and processes to determine it's completeness, periodic review, and effectiveness as evidenced by it's documentation that shows outcomes are met and ongoing	Chairs of PEC, RAD, RAP, & SNO & Dean Every 3 years & w/ SIPR & SS 2016 2020 2023 w/ SS	SEP is clearly written, includes required outcomes measures for all program components, identifies qualitative & quantitative benchmarks for all components, timelines, and is periodically reviewed.	SNO Minutes (DATE) At conclusion of every three year review of SEP the Chair of PEC will send report w/ analysis of review indicating degree to which SEP is complete, and effective in determining program effectiveness.	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)					

	program improvement is achieved. PEC insures timely administration and distribution of all program surveys					
IV-B: Program completion rates demonstrate program effectiveness.	Review of Graduation Rates defined as per cent of students who enter program and complete curriculum without interruption.	Dean, Chair of PEC, in consultation with Admission Coordinator, & Admin Asst to the Dean Every two years. Or w/ CIPR, SS. 2016 2018 w/ CIPR 2020 2022	Completion rates will be 70% or higher for each degree program and APRN certificate program. At least 70% of: BSNs will graduate in 6 semesters from enrolling in first nursing course -ELM students will complete in 27 calendar months (9 academic terms) -MSN students will complete in 15 months (5 academic terms) -BSN to DNP students will complete in 4 calendar years -MSN to DNP students will complete in 3 calendar years	PEC Minutes (DATE) and SNO minutes (DATE) will include a report from PEC to SNO indicating analysis of completion rate and if below 70% will provide a written (Not Met requires PIP) explanation with documentation for the variance.	Met Not met	

IV-C: Licensure pass rates demonstrate program effectiveness.	Review of NCLEX-RN pass rates defined as the number of graduates in a graduating cohort, the number of those students who take NCLEX, and the percent of the first time takers who achieve 80% or higher, and a review of pattern of passing over past 3 years.	RAP Committee in consultation with Dean and Dean Admin Assistant Annually in fall semester	NCLEX pass rates will be 80% or higher each year Data base for tracking NCLEX rates is continually maintained	The Rap Committee Minutes (DATE) and the SNO Minutes (DATE) will include a report from the RAP Committee and from the Faculty Lead of Graduate Program with analysis of NCLEX Pass Rate each year during fall semester for the previous year and three year pattern.	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	
IV-D: Certification pass rates demonstrate program effectiveness.	Review of Certification pass rates by identifying the number of graduates in a cohort, the number of graduates who take certification exams in that cohort, and the per cent of takers who pass at 80% or higher and a review of the pattern of pass rates for the last 3 years.	Faculty Lead of Graduate Program in consultation with the Dean and Admin Assistant to Grad programs.	Data base for tracking Certification pass rates is continually maintained Certification pass rates will be 80% or higher each year.	The Rap Committee Minutes (DATE) and the SNO Minutes (DATE) will include a report from the RAP Committee and from the Faculty Lead of Graduate Program with analysis of Certification Pass Rate each year during fall semester for the previous year and three year pattern.	Met Not Met (Not Met requires PIP)	

IV-E: Employment rates demonstrate program effectiveness	Review of employment rates of all graduates at end of program to determine employment rate and report to SNO	EC and PEC Committee Every three years Fall 2018 w CIPR Fall 2021 2023 w/ SS	Employment rates for each BSN, ELMSN, MSN, and DNP degree program are 70% or higher within 12 months of program completion.	PEC Committee minutes (DATE) and SNO minutes will include report showing rate and analysis if needed.	Met Not met (Not Met requires PIP)	
IV-G: Aggregate faculty outcomes, demonstrate program effectiveness. Aggregate Faculty Outcomes in: Teaching Service Practice Scholarship -PLU Faculty Handbook	Review of PLU & SON documents that define aggregate expected Faculty Outcomes. Review degree to which aggregate faculty meet expected outcomes. Review processes of faculty evaluation.	RAD Committee in consultation with EC Committee Every three years Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2021 Fall 2023 w/ SS	-PLU & SON Criteria for faculty outcomes are congruent - 75% of student evals will demonstrate agree/strongly agree on "teaching effectiveness". 90% of continuing faculty over 0.5 FTE will submit an annual self assessment in annual Faculty Activity Reports & Self Assessment (FARSA) 90% of over 0.5FTE will demonstrate prof development by annual FARSA 80% of Tenure/TT will demonstrate scholarship by annual FARSA 90% of continuing over 0.5 FTE faculty will demonstrate participation in at least one SON committee/special project & one prof service commitment by annual FARSA	RAD Committee Minutes (DATE) and SNO minutes will include report/tables/analysis from RAD committee describing individual and aggregate faculty outcomes.	(Not Met requires PIP)	

			75% of continuing over 0.5 FTE faculty will demonstrate engagement in practice relevant to their faculty role by annual FARSA			
IV-H: Aggregate faculty outcome data are analyzed and use, as appropriate, to foster program improvement	Needs development	Needs development	Needs development	Needs development		
IV-I: Program outcomes demonstrate program effectiveness in addition to completion, pass, and employment rates	Review of additional processes in place to demonstrate student achievement of program outcomes.	EC and PEC Committee Every two years Spring 2016 Fall 2018 w/ CIPR Fall 2020 Fall 2022			-	
	Examples: -Review of Key Assignment rubrics & allignment with Program Outcomes and review degree to which students meet program outcomes in		90% of students will achieve a score of 80% or higher on each key assignment			
	the aggregate Review of Student Portfolio rubrics and process and alignment with program objectives for indication of degree to which students meet		100% of students attain a rate or "accomplished" or "exemplary" on portfolio evaluation rubric			

program outcomes in the aggregateRandom Review of scholarly paper/projects of graduate student for evidence of alignment with program outcomes and for evidence that reflects students meet outcomes in the aggregate Review of BSN, MSN, and DNP exit surveys, and alumni surveys, to evaluate metrics that reflect satisfaction -Review minutes of Community Advisory Council (CAC) for evidence of satisfaction with program	Exit Surveys show 75% of the graduating students indicate they are "Well Prepared" or "Extremely Well Prepared" for each SoN program outcome Alumni Surveys show 80% "satisfied" or "extremely satisfied" with their program: Minutes of CAC reflect evidence of satisfaction with program.		

IV-J: Program outcome	Review of how the	PEC Committee in	All program components that	SNO minutes (DATE)	Met		
data are used, as	program uses findings	consultation with EC	were evaluated as "not met"	demonstrate program			
appropriate, to foster	of the evaluation of all	Committee	was submitted to Evaluation	improvement initiatives	Not Met		
ongoing program	program components	Every two years	Day with PIP followed by	implemented or in process as			
improvement.	is used for program	Spring 2016	action recommendation to	evidenced by report and			
	improvement. Review	Fall 2018 w/ CIPR	SNO,	analysis from PEC committee	(Not Met		
	SEP processes	Fall 2020	-PEC & SNO minutes document	and provides evidence of	requires		
	designated for	Fall 2022 or 2023 w	implementation of PIP for	faculty engagement	PIP)		
	subsequent year to	SS	relevant components				
	determine how those						
	"not met" were		-components that have had				
	addressed and PIPs		PIPs Implemented show				
	implemented.		improved outcomes in				
	EX: Review of all		subsequent evaluation cycle.				
	components evaluated						
	in previous two years		Examples of documented				
	and create a table of		processes show adherence to				
	those not met, PIPs,		appropriate faculty				
	and implementation		engagement via committee				
	of action plans to		membership				
Faculty Engagement in	foster program						
Program Improvements is	improvement.						
evident							
cviaciit	Review of						
	implementation of SEP						
	to demonstrate broad						
	based faculty						
	engagement in						
	evaluation processes						

3/8/2015 Ad Hoc PEC revisions 3/31/2015 Ad Hoc PEC revisions 4/13/2015 Ad Hoc PEC revisions 4/27/2015 Ad Hoc PEC revisions 5/12/2015 Ad Hoc PEC revisions 5/27/2015 SNO Meeting, Reviewed & Accepted
1/6/2016 Updates added, sks
6/14/2016 Updates/revisions, mm/sks
10/19/2018 Revised to become SEP II, cs & dz
4/27/2020 Updated to integrate 2018 CCNE standard revisions,dlz
4/29/2020 Approved/Accepted by SNO