Electronic Voting Methods

By: Keon Payne & Logan Berghoefer



Research Question

Within the United States elections, does voting technology lead to a new avenue for cybersecurity threats?



Hypothesis

We hypothesize that the more voting technology is prevalent within U.S elections, the more security risk will be associated with it.



Research Method

Due to the extensiveness of our research subject matter. In order to gather data on this subject we analyzed sources from professors, programmers and experts within the cyber security field. In addition to this we gathered information on individuals who are highly knowledgeable in regards to electronic voting methods.

Positives

<u>Helps</u> <u>Eliminate</u>	=	<u>Voting Method</u>	=	<u>Improves</u>
Miscount votes	=	Electronic Voting Direct	=	Voter anonymity
Unmarked ballots	=	Electronic Voting Direct	=	Security
Paper waste	=	Electronic Voting Direct	=	Voting accuracy
Future threats		Electronic Voting Direct	=	Voting technology/platforms

This table shows the positive benefactors E Voting brings to our society.

Negatives

Prone to	=	Voting Method	=	Increases
Viruses	=	Electronic Voting Direct	=	Stolen Data & Intrusion to Sensitive information
Lack of configuration oversight	=	Electronic Voting Direct	=	Possible Manipulation of Voting Technology and Programming. Vote Card Tampering.
Buggy Software	=	Electronic Voting Direct	=	Machine malfunction & Interface Flaws
Hackings		Electronic Voting Direct	=	Threat to Voters Democracy

This table shows the negative effects of E Voting brings to our society.

Conclusion

Technology use in the voting process **Advantages Disadvantages** Advances in voting technology Voter identity security Increase of voter turnout Anonymity **Outdated hardware and software** Accuracy of elections **Potential hackings** Lack of auditing capabilities Lack of technology to ensure safety High level of security threats related to electronic voting

Conclusion (Cont'd)

In regards to the research we gathered, while there is a serious need for an advancement in voting technology, the ability in the machines and security measures are not yet able to ensure the safety and protection of the American voters. As technological safety continues to improve in America, individuals may be able to continue to advance it's voting technologies to the virtual world enhancing the democracy in e voting.

Sources

Appel, A. W., Ginsburg, M., Hursti, H., Kernighan, B. W., Richards, C. D., Tan, G., & Venetis, P. (n.d.). The New Jersey Voting-machine Lawsuit and the AVC Advantage DRE Voting Machine, 1–18. Retrieved from https://www.usenix.org/legacy/event/evtwote09/tech/full_papers/appel.pdf

Chung, K. (n.d.).

Cranor, L. F. (2002). Communications policy and information technology: promises, problems, prospects. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Estehghari, S., & Desmedt, Y. (n.d.). Exploiting the Client Vulnerabilities in Internet E-voting Systems: Hacking Helios 2.0 as an Example, 1–13.

King, Charity, & Thompson, Michael. Security of Electronic Voting in the United States. United States.

Kimball, D. C., & Kropf, M. (2008). Voting Technology, Ballot Measures, and Residual Votes. American Politics Research, 36(4), 479–509. doi: 10.1177/1532673x08320405

Lauer, T. W. (2014). The Risk of e-Voting . Academic Conference Ltd., 1–10. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas_Lauer/publication/228920801_The_Risk_of_eVoting/links/004635182c0960710c000000.pdf

Mebane, W. R. (2004). The Wrong Man is President! Overvotes in the 2000 Presidential Election in Florida. Perspectives on Politics, 2(03). doi: 10.1017/s1537592704040320

Osgood, R. (2016). The Future of Democracy: Blockchain Voting , 1–21. Retrieved from http://www.cs.tufts.edu/comp/116/archive/fall2016/rosgood.pdf

Ottoboni, K., & Stark, P. B. (2019). Election Integrity and Electronic Voting Machines in 2018 Georgia, USA. Electronic Voting Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 166–182. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-30625-0_11

Phillips, D. M., & Spakovsky, H. A. V. (2001). Gauging the risks of internet elections. Communications of the ACM, 44(1). doi: 10.1145/357489.357512

Rubin, A. D. (2002). Security considerations for remote electronic voting. Communications of the ACM, 45(12), 39–44. doi: 10.1145/585597585599

Springall, D., Finkenauer, T., Durumeric, Z., Kitcat, J., Hursti, H., Macalpine, M., & Halderman, J. A. (2014). Security Analysis of the Estonian Internet Voting System. Proceedings of the 2014 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security – CCS 14. doi: 10.1145/2660267.2660315

Singer, P. W., and Allan Friedman. *Cybersecurity and Cyberwar: What Everyone Needs to Know*. Oxford University Press, 2014.