

## **Call for Nominations - Faculty Excellence Awards, 2018-2019**

### **Deadline October 29, 2018**

You are invited to submit nominations for the 2018-2019 Faculty Excellence Awards, which will be announced at the faculty-staff Holiday Luncheon. Each recipient receives a \$2500 award. A list of previous award recipients is available [online](#).

#### **Excellence in Teaching** – one award

##### *Documentation for the Teaching award*

- Peer testimonials – no more than three (not to exceed 500 words each)
- Student testimonials – no more than five (not to exceed 500 words each)
- Outside recognitions
- Teaching evaluations from the nominee’s past two teaching years (EvaluationKIT PDF + comments report by course, and quantitative semester summaries). Instructions for generating these reports in EvaluationKIT are available [online](#).

##### *Criteria for the Teaching award*

Nominees should embody the qualities of excellent teaching, as measured by:

- the ability to communicate knowledge effectively
- the ability to inspire in students a love of learning
- a demonstrated record of consistently going “above and beyond” in working with students

#### **Excellence in Advising** – one award (*nominations can come from students and/or faculty*)

##### *Documentation for the Advising award*

- Peer testimonials – no more than three (not to exceed 500 words each)
- Student testimonials – no more than five (not to exceed 500 words each)
- Description of advising work over the nominee’s past two teaching years

##### *Criteria for the Advising award*

Nominees should embody qualities of effective and caring concern for student academic progress, as measured by skill at helping students:

- plan their academic schedule
- navigate the challenges of college life
- make well-chosen life and career decisions

#### **K. T. Tang Awards for Excellence in Research\*** - two awards

##### *Documentation for the Research awards*

- Testimonials from peers and/or research students, including at least one peer from outside of PLU who can speak to the nominee’s contributions to their discipline – no more than 5 (not to exceed 500 words each)
- List of scholarly activity
- Grants received
- Outside awards

### *Criteria for the Research Award*

Nominees should have made significant contributions to their disciplines through:

- dissemination of rigorous, substantial, and ongoing research activities employing methodologies appropriate to the discipline
- recent relevant accomplishments

*\*Provided through an endowment established by Wilfrid Chan, a 1974 alumnus in physics, in honor of his former professor, Dr. K. T. Tang.*

### **Excellence in Service** - one award

#### *Documentation for the Service award*

- Testimonials from peers and/or students, including at least one peer that can speak to the nominee's service to community and profession beyond PLU – no more than five (not to exceed 500 words each)
- Record of service
- Public recognitions

#### *Criteria for the Service award*

Nominees should demonstrate a consistent record of service through engagement in activities that benefit the university, their profession, and the community through lives of thoughtful inquiry, work, leadership, and care. Activities should include:

- service to faculty governance
- service to university
- service to academic community
- service to community and profession beyond the university

### **Faculty Excellence in Mentoring in Honor of Art Gee\*\*** - one award

#### *Documentation for the Mentoring award*

- Peer testimonials – no more than three (not to exceed 500 words each)
- Student testimonials – no more than five (not to exceed 500 words each)

#### *Criteria for the Mentoring award*

There is no single definition of an excellent mentor. A mentor is someone who, through experience, caring, and knowledge, makes a profound difference in the life of another by being a:

- role model
- confidant
- critic
- friend
- teacher
- co-learner

*\*\* Established through a gift from Tom Carlson (Department of Biology), dedicated to his mentor, Art Gee.*

## **Process for Nomination**

Nomination packets should be submitted electronically by the dean of the nominee's unit to the Office of the Provost ([provost@plu.edu](mailto:provost@plu.edu)) by **October 29, 2018**.

- Please attach each nomination packet (per nominee) as a single PDF file.
- Each nomination packet should include:
  - a nomination letter that speaks to the award criteria (not to exceed 500 words)
  - materials specific to the award
  - in cases when there are multiple nominees from the same unit for a particular award, the appropriate dean should provide
    - a ranking of the nominations, using whatever process (such as consultation with chairs) that dean believes appropriate
    - a brief explanation of the ranking
- Nomination packets and supporting materials will not be accepted past the deadline.
- There is no rule that precludes a previous recipient from receiving another award.
- For both the teaching and research awards, faculty are primarily recognized for achievements in recent academic years.
- Deans are not normally nominated for these awards.

## **Process for Selection**

A faculty awards advisory committee will be convened by the Provost to review nominations and select award recipients.

- When possible, the committee will be comprised of faculty from the College of Arts and Sciences and the professional schools.
- A committee member may not be nominated for an award.
- The committee may consult with appropriate student(s) or student group(s) regarding the recipient of the advising award as part of the deliberation process.