

Title IX “Mock” Hearing/Review Meeting Logistics  
February 22, 2021

**Title IX Case for Review**

We will be utilizing the Investigative Report that was introduced to you during our summer training.

[Link to the Investigative Report](#) \*Note- we will not be calling witnesses

Please review the following sections:

Pages 4 to 19

Synopsis

Areas of Discrepancy

Summaries of the Initial Interviews w Complainant and Respondent

Pages 34 to 39

Follow up interview w Complainant

Pages 47 to 55

Follow up interview w Respondent

**Assigned Roles (please let me know if you have any concerns):**

Corey Cook - Respondent Advisor

Tom Huelsbeck (if Tom is available/ if not, respondent will be Austin) - Respondent

Angie Hambrick - Complainant Advisor

Simone Smith - Complainant

Tina Muller - Lead Decision Maker

Andrew Foltz/ Austin Beiermann/Charlie Katica- Decision Makers

Witness - due to time constraints, we will not be hearing from witnesses

Eva / Jen - Observing / Facilitating / Providing Feedback

**Feb 15-19**

- Individually review investigative report / Specific roles meet with each other independently and prep DM questions or cross-exam questions
  - Advisors w their involved parties
  - Lead DM and DM Panel
  - Jen CW will join if schedules permit
- Review and familiarize yourself with [Virtual Title IX Formal Process Review Meeting Process/Script](#)

**Feb 19-22**

- Title IX and SRR -Set up required technology / test
- Answer questions regarding script/process

**Proposed Meeting Dates This Week - Google Cal invites sent:**

Lead DM and DM Panel - Feb 17th @ 3:00 pm (30 mins)

Complainant and Advisor - Feb 17th @ 3:30 pm (30 mins)

Respondent and Advisor - Feb 18th @ 2:00 pm (30 mins)

**Additional Resource:**

[OCR Title IX Hearings Training Video](#) - good review